arXiv:1402.3702v1 [math.RA] 15 Feb 2014

CLASSIFICATION OF ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS ON SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS OF ORDER TWO AND THREE

LI GUO, MARKUS ROSENKRANZ, AND SHANGHUA ZHENG

Abstract. In this paper we determine all the Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero on semigroup algebras of order two and three with the help of computer algebra. We determine the matrices for these Rota-Baxter operators by directly solving the defining equations of the operators. We also produce a Mathematica procedure to predict and verify these solutions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The general setup and Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order two 2
2.1. The general setup 2
2.2. Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order 2 4
3. Rota-Baxter operators on commutative semigroup algebras of order 3 6
3.1. Statement of the classification theorem in the commutative case 6
3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1 7
4. Rota-Baxter operators on noncommutative semigroup algebras of order 3 16
4.1. Statement of the classification theorem in the noncommutative case 16
4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1 18
5. The method of computer algebra 32
References 32

1. Introduction

Rota-Baxter operators arose from the probability study of G. Baxter in 1960 [7], defined by the operator identity

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy), \tag{1}$$

where λ is a fixed scalar called the weight. When $\lambda = 0$, the operator is a natural algebraic generalization of the integral operator. In the 1960s and 70s, these operators attracted attention from well-known analysts such as Atkinson [2] and combinatorialists such as Cartier and Rota [10, 38, 39]. In the 1980s these operators were studied in integrable systems as the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equations [40], named after the well-known physicists C.N. Yang and R.J. Baxter. Since the late 1990s, the study of Rota-Baxter operators has made great progress both in theory and in applications in combinatorics, number theory, operads, boundary value problems and mathematical physics [3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 17, 20, 21, 22, 37].

Date: February 18, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W99, 16S36, 20M25, 16Z05.

Because of the importance of Rota-Baxter operators, it is useful to obtain miscellaneous examples. The examples arising naturally from applications as well as theoretical investigations (e.g. free Rota-Baxter algebras [16, 21]) are infinite dimensional. In recent years, some progress regarding computations of Rota-Baxter operators on low dimensional algebras has been achieved, with applications to pre-Lie algebras, dendriform algebras and the classical Yang-Baxter equation [1, 27, 34, 42]..

This paper studies Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras. Semigroup algebras, a natural generalization of group algebras, form an important class of associative algebras arising from semigroups [33]. The representation of semigroups leads to a semigroup algebra satisfying polynomial identities. In this regards, Rota-Baxter operators on a semigroup algebra can be regarded as an operated semigroup algebra satisfying an operator identity. It has been shown that every finite dimensional algebra of finite representation type over an algebraically closed field is a contracted semigroup algebra. Recently, semigroup algebras have experienced rapid development on the theoretical side [13, 14, 23, 24] as well as in applications to representation theory, cohomology, geometric group theory, topology, combinatorics, algebraic geometry and number theory [8, 19, 25, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 41]. Thus studying semigroup algebras in their canonical basis has a significance of its own.

In this paper, we classify all Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order 2 and 3. Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras of dimension 2 and 3 have been determined up to isomorphism in [27]. Here we focus on the particular presentation of such an algebra in terms of the canonical semigroup algebra basis, because of the aforementioned importance of using such a basis. Indeed, as one notices by comparing the classifications given here with the ones in [27], the resulting Rota-Baxter operators take a very different form.

Because of the complex nature of Rota-Baxter operators, determining their classification by hand is challenging even for low dimensional algebras, as observed in [1, 27, 42]. In such a case, computer algebra provides an indispensable aid for both predicting and verifying these operators. Nevertheless, for ensuring theoretical accuracy, it is still necessary to carry out a rigorous proof of the classification. In Section 2 we start by developing the general setup of the equations that serve as the necessary and sufficient conditions characterizing a Rota-Baxter operator on a semigroup algebra. We then classify all Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order 2. For Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order 3, we carry out the classification in two sections. In Section 3, we determine all Rota-Baxter operators on commutative semigroup algebras of order 3, in Section 4 on noncommutative semigroup algebras of order 3. In Section 5, we provide the Mathematica procedure that has helped us in solving the classification problem.

2. The general setup and Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order two

In this section, we first formulate the general setup for determining Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero on a semigroup algebra. We then apply this setup to classify all Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero on semigroup algebras of order two.

2.1. **The general setup.**

In this subsection, we give the general setup of Rota-Baxter operators on a semigroup algebra in matrix form. Let S be a finite semigroup with multiplication $\cdot$ that we often suppress. Thus $S = \{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a commutative unitary ring and let

$$\mathbf{k}[S] := \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathbf{k} e_m = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n} a_m e_m \,\middle|\, a_m \in \mathbf{k}, 1 \leqslant m \leqslant n \right\} \tag{2}$$

denote the semigroup algebra of S. The order n of the semigroup S is also said to be the **order** of the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[S]$.

Let $P : \mathbf{k}[S] \to \mathbf{k}[S]$ be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero. Since P is $\mathbf{k}$-linear, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} P(e_1) \\ P(e_2) \\ \cdots \\ P(e_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ c_{n1} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \cdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (c_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n). \tag{3}$$

The matrix $C := C_P := (c_{ij})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n}$ is called the **matrix of** P. Further, P is a Rota-Baxter operator if and only if

$$P(e_i)P(e_j) = P(P(e_i)e_j + e_iP(e_j)) \quad (1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n). \tag{4}$$

Let the Cayley (multiplication) table of the semigroup S be given by

$$e_k \cdot e_\ell = \sum_{m=1}^{n} r_{k\ell}^m e_m \quad (1 \leqslant k, \ell \leqslant n), \tag{5}$$

where $r_{k\ell}^m \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have

$$P(e_i)P(e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} c_{ik}c_{j\ell}e_ke_\ell = \sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} r_{k\ell}^m c_{ik}c_{j\ell}e_m$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P(P(e_i)e_j + e_iP(e_j)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{ik}P(e_ke_j) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} c_{j\ell}P(e_ie_\ell) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} r_{kj}^m c_{ik}P(e_m) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} r_{i\ell}^m c_{j\ell}P(e_m) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} r_{kj}^m c_{ik}\Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} c_{m\ell}e_\ell\Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} r_{ik}^m c_{jk}\Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} c_{m\ell}e_\ell\Big) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(r_{kj}^\ell c_{ik} + r_{ik}^\ell c_{jk})c_{\ell m}e_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

Theorem 2.1. *Let $S = \{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be a semigroup with its Cayley table given by Eq. (5). Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a commutative unitary ring and let $P : \mathbf{k}[S] \to \mathbf{k}[S]$ be a linear operator with matrix $C := C_P = (c_{ij})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n}$. Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on $\mathbf{k}[S]$ if and only if the following equations hold.*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k\ell}^m c_{ik}c_{j\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(r_{kj}^\ell c_{ik} + r_{ik}^\ell c_{jk})c_{\ell m} \quad (1 \leqslant i, j, m \leqslant n). \tag{6}$$

We will determine the matrices C_P for all Rota-Baxter operators P on $\mathbf{k}[S]$ of order two or three.

2.2. **Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of order 2.** In this section, we determine all Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras $\mathbf{k}[S]$ of order 2.

As is well known [36], there are exactly five distinct nonisomorphic semigroups of order 2. We use N_2, L_2, R_2, Y_2 and Z_2 respectively to denote the null semigroup of order 2, the left zero semigroup, right zero semigroup, the semilattice of order 2 and the cyclic group of order 2. Since L_2 and R_2 are anti-isomorphic, there are exactly four distinct semigroups of order 2, up to isomorphism and anti-isomorphism, namely N_2, Y_2, Z_2 and L_2. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ denote the underlying set of each semigroup. Then the Cayley tables for these semigroups are as follows:

TABLE 1. The Cayley tables of semigroups of order 2

$N_2 :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2
e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_1

$Y_2 :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2
e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2

$Z_2 :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2
e_1	e_1	e_2
e_2	e_2	e_1

$L_2 :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2
e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_2	e_2

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{k}$ *be a field of characteristics zero. All Rota-Baxter operators on a semigroup algebra* $\mathbf{k}[S]$ *of order* 2 *have their matrices* C_P *given in Table 2, where all the parameters are in* $\mathbf{k}$ *and RBO* (*resp. SA*) *is the abbreviation of Rota-Baxter operator* (*resp. semigroup algebra*).

TABLE 2. Table of RBOs on 2-dimensional semigroup algebras

Semigroup of order 2	RBOs on SA	Semigroup of order 2	RBOs on SA
N_2	$\begin{pmatrix} a & -a \\ b & -b \end{pmatrix}$	Z_2	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
Y_2	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	L_2	$\begin{pmatrix} a & -\frac{a^2}{b} \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix} (b \neq 0), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Proof. We divide the proof of the theorem into four cases, one for each of the four semigroups S in Table 1. For each case, by Theorem 2.1, P is a RBO on $\mathbf{k}[S]$ if and only if the eight equations (6) hold (with $1 \leqslant i, j, m \leqslant 2$). So we just need to solve these equations. It is straightforward to verify that what we obtain does indeed satisfy all equations. Let $\mathbf{0}_{2\times 2}$ denote the 2×2 zero matrix.

Case 1. Let $S = N_2$**:** In Eq. (6), taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$ and $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$, we get

$$(c_{11} + c_{12})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12}), \tag{7}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$(c_{21} + c_{22})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22}), \tag{9}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Assume $c_{11} + c_{12} \neq 0$. Then by Eqs. (7) and (8), we have $c_{11} = c_{12} = 0$, a contradiction to $c_{11} + c_{12} \neq 0$. Thus $c_{11} + c_{12} = 0$. Assume $c_{21} + c_{22} \neq 0$. Then by Eq. (10), we get $c_{12} = 0$, and so $c_{11} = 0$. Then by Eq. (9), we have $c_{21} + c_{22} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $c_{21} + c_{22} = 0$. Therefore Eqs. (7)-(10) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} c_{11} + c_{12} = 0, \\ c_{21} + c_{22} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Denoting $a = c_{11}$ and $b = c_{21}$, we see that solutions $(c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 2}$ of Eqs. (7)-(10) are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -a \\ b & -b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}).$$

It is straightforward to check that they also satisfy the other equations in Eq. (6). Hence these are all the matrices C_P for Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbf{k}[S]$.

Case 2. Let $S = Y_2$: In Eq. (6), taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$ and $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2 + 2c_{11}c_{12} = 2c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12}), \tag{11}$$

$$c_{12}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12}), \tag{12}$$

$$c_{21}^2 + 2c_{21}c_{22} = 2c_{21}(c_{11} + c_{22}), \tag{13}$$

$$c_{22}^2 = 2(c_{12}c_{21} + c_{22}^2). \tag{14}$$

From Eq. (11) we have $c_{11} = 0$. Then from Eq. (12) we have $c_{12} = 0$. Thus Eq. (14) gives $c_{22} = 0$. Further by Eq. (13), we have $c_{21} = 0$. Thus the only solution is the zero solution $\mathbf{0}_{2\times 2}$.

Case 3. $S = Z_2$**:** In Eq. (6), taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $m = 2$ and $i = j = 2$ with $m = 1$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2 + c_{12}^2 = 2c_{11}^2 + 2c_{12}c_{21}, \tag{15}$$

$$c_{11}c_{12} = c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{22}), \tag{16}$$

$$c_{11}c_{22} + c_{12}c_{21} = c_{22}(c_{11} + c_{22}) + c_{12}(c_{12} + c_{21}), \tag{17}$$

$$c_{21}^2 + c_{22}^2 = 2(c_{21}^2 + c_{11}c_{22}). \tag{18}$$

By Eq. (16) we have $c_{12}c_{22} = 0$. From Eq. (17) we get $c_{12}^2 + c_{22}^2 = 0$. Thus $c_{12} = c_{22} = 0$. Then Eqs. (15) and (18) give $c_{11} = c_{21} = 0$. Thus the only solution is the zero solution $\mathbf{0}_{2\times 2}$.

Case 4. $S = L_2$**:** In Eq. (6), taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$ and $i = 2, j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$, we get

$$c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = c_{11}(2c_{11} + c_{12}) + c_{12}c_{21}, \tag{19}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = c_{12}(2c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{22}), \tag{20}$$

$$c_{21}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = c_{21}(2c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{22}), \tag{21}$$

$$c_{22}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = c_{21}c_{12} + c_{22}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{22}). \tag{22}$$

By Eqs. (19) and (22), we have $c_{11}^2 = c_{22}^2$. By Eqs. (20) and (21), we get $c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{22}) = 0$ and $c_{21}(c_{11} + c_{22}) = 0$. Assume $c_{11} + c_{22} \neq 0$. Then $c_{12} = c_{21} = 0$. So by Eq. (19), we have $c_{11} = 0$ and then $c_{22} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $c_{11} + c_{22} = 0$. Then Eqs. (19)-(22) are equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} c_{11} + c_{22} = 0, \\ c_{11}^2 + c_{12}c_{21} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Denoting $a = c_{11}$ and $b = c_{21}$, then $c_{22} = -a$. When $b \neq 0$, then we also have $c_{12} = -\frac{a^2}{b}$. This gives the solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -\frac{a^2}{b} \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (b \neq 0, a \in \mathbf{k}).$$

On the other hand, when $b = 0$, then $c_{11} = c_{22} = 0$. Denoting $a = c_{12}$, we get the solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}).$$

These solutions to Eqs. (19)-(22) also satisfy the other equations in Eq. (6). Thus they give all the Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbf{k}[S]$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

3. Rota-Baxter operators on commutative semigroup algebras of order 3

Up to isomorphism and anti-isomorphism, there are 18 semigroups of order 3 [12, 15, 18]. The Cayley tables of the 18 semigroups of order 3 can be found in [18]. See also [12, 28, 35]. We denote by CS and NCS the class of 12 commutative semigroups and the class of 6 noncommutative semigroups, respectively.

When a semigroup S has order 3, the equations in Eq. (6) for the matrix C_P of a Rota-Baxter operator P on $\mathbf{k}[S]$ are given by the following 27 equations.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{3} r_{k\ell}^{m} c_{ik} c_{j\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{3} (r_{kj}^{\ell} c_{ik} + r_{ik}^{\ell} c_{jk}) c_{\ell m} \quad (1 \leqslant i, j, m \leqslant 3). \tag{23}$$

In this section, we determine the Rota-Baxter operators on the semigroup algebras for the 12 commutative semigroups of order 3 in Table 3. Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras for the 6 noncommutative semigroups of order 3 will be determined in Section 4.

3.1. Statement of the classification theorem in the commutative case.

A classification of the 12 commutative semigroups of order 3 is given in Table 3.

Table 3. Table of commutative semigroups of order 3

$CS(1) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_3	e_1	e_1	e_1

$CS(2) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_3	e_1	e_1	e_2

$CS(3) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_1
e_3	e_1	e_1	e_1

$CS(4) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_1
e_3	e_1	e_1	e_3

$CS(5) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_2
e_3	e_1	e_2	e_2

$CS(6) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_2
e_3	e_1	e_2	e_3

$CS(7) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_3	e_1	e_3	e_1

$CS(8) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_3	e_1	e_3	e_2

$CS(9) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_3
e_2	e_1	e_1	e_3
e_3	e_3	e_3	e_1

$CS(10) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_3
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_3	e_3	e_3	e_1

$CS(11) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_2	e_2
e_2	e_2	e_1	e_1
e_3	e_2	e_1	e_1

$CS(12) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_2	e_2	e_3	e_1
e_3	e_3	e_1	e_2

We have the following classification of Rota-Baxter operators on order 3 commutative semigroup algebras. The proof will be given in Section 3.2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{k}$ *be a field of characteristic zero. The matrices of Rota-Baxter operators on* 3*-dimensional commutative semigroup algebras are given in Table 4, where all the parameters take values in* $\mathbf{k}$ *and RBO* (*resp. CS*) *is the abbreviation for Rota-Baxter operator* (*resp. commutative semigroup*).

Table 4: RBOs on commutative semigroup algebras of order 3

CS of order 3	Matrices of RBOs on semigroup algebras	CS of order 3	Matrices of RBOs on semigroup algebras
$CS(1)$	$C_{1,1} := \begin{pmatrix} a & b & -a-b \\ c & d & -c-d \\ e & f & -e-f \end{pmatrix}$	$CS(2)$	$C_{2,1} := \begin{pmatrix} a & -a & 0 \\ b & -b & 0 \\ c & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $C_{2,2} := \begin{pmatrix} a & -a & 0 \\ b & -b & 0 \\ c & 2(b-a)-c & 2(a-b) \end{pmatrix} (a \neq b)$

Continued on next page

Table 4: RBOs on commutative semigroup algebras of order 3

CS of order 3	Matrices of RBOs on semigroup algebras	CS of order 3	Matrices of RBOs on semigroup algebras
$CS(3)$	$C_{3,1} := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ b & 0 & -b \\ c & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}$	$CS(4)$	$C_{4,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$CS(5)$	$C_{5,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & b & -b \\ 0 & c & -c \end{pmatrix}$	$CS(6)$	$C_{6,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$CS(7)$	$C_{7,1} := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ b & 0 & -b \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}$	$CS(8)$	$C_{8,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$CS(9)$	$C_{9,1} := \begin{pmatrix} a & -a & 0 \\ b & -b & 0 \\ c & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$CS(10)$	$C_{10,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$CS(11)$	$C_{11,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & b & -b \\ 0 & c & -c \end{pmatrix}$	$CS(12)$	$C_{12,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We will prove Theorem 3.1 by considering each of the 12 commutative semigroups $CS(i)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 12$, of order 3 in Table 3. For each semigroup, we solve some of the equations in Eq. (23) for the Cayley table of the corresponding semigroup. It is straightforward to verify that what we obtain this way indeed satisfies all the equations in Eq. (23). Let $\mathbf{0}_{3\times 3}$ denote the 3×3 zero matrix.

3.2.1. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(1)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of all the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(1)]$ are given by $C_{1,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(1)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 2, 3$ with $m = 1$ and $i = 2, 3$, $j = 1$ with $m = 1$, respectively, we obtain

$$(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}), \tag{24}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13})(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{27}$$

$$(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13})(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) = c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}), \tag{28}$$

$$(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{29}$$

$$(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}). \tag{30}$$

Assume $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Then by Eqs. (24), (25) and (26), we get

$$2c_{11} = c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{12} = c_{13} = 0.$$

So we have $c_{11} = c_{12} = c_{13} = 0$. This contradicts $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Thus $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. By Eqs. (27) and (28), we have $c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0$ and $c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) = 0$. Then by Eqs. (29) and (30), we get $(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23})^2 = 0$ and $(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33})^2 = 0$. So we have $c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = 0$ and $c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$. From these discussions, we see that Eqs. (24)-(30) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = 0, \\ c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = 0, \\ c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0. \end{cases}$$

So we have

$$\begin{cases} c_{13} = -c_{11} - c_{12}, \\ c_{23} = -c_{21} - c_{22}, \\ c_{33} = -c_{31} - c_{32}. \end{cases}$$

Denote $a = c_{11}, b = c_{12}, c = c_{21}, d = c_{22}, e = c_{31}, f = c_{32}$. Thus solutions $(c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of Eqs. (24)-(30) are given by

$$C_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & -a-b \\ c & d & -c-d \\ e & f & -e-f \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbf{k}).$$

Since they can be checked to satisfy other equations in Eq. (23), they give the matrices of all the Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbf{k}[CS(1)]$.

3.2.2. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(2)]$. Here we prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of all the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(2)]$ are given by $C_{2,1}$ and $C_{2,2}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(2)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, $j = 2$ with $m = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$(c_{11} + c_{12})(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) + c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = 2c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}), \tag{31}$$

$$c_{13}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}), \tag{32}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$(c_{11} + c_{12})(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) + c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{34}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0, \tag{35}$$

$$(c_{21} + c_{22})(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) + c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = 2c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{36}$$

$$c_{23}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{37}$$

$$(c_{31} + c_{32})(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{33}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 2c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + 2c_{33}c_{21}, \tag{38}$$

$$c_{33}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + 2c_{33}c_{22}. \tag{39}$$

Assume $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Then by Eqs. (32) and (33), we have $c_{13} = c_{12} = 0$. By Eq. (31), we have $c_{11} = 0$. This contradicts $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Thus we have $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. By Eq. (32), we have $c_{13} = 0$. So $c_{11} + c_{12} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (34) and (35), we get $c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0$ and $c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0$. From Eq. (37), we obtain $c_{23} = 0$. Then Eq. (36) gives $c_{21} + c_{22} = 0$. Adding Eqs. (38) and (39), we get $(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33})^2 = 0$. So $c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$. By Eq. (39), we have $c_{33}^2 = 2c_{33}(c_{22} - c_{12})$. Thus $c_{33} = 0$ or $c_{33} = 2(c_{22} - c_{12})$. Denote $a = c_{11}, b = c_{21}, c = c_{31}$. Then $c_{12} = -a$ and $c_{22} = -b$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $c_{33} = 0$**:** Then $c_{31} + c_{32} = 0$. Then we have $c_{32} = -c$. Thus we get the solutions

$$C_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -a & 0 \\ b & -b & 0 \\ c & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}).$$

Case 2. Suppose $c_{33} \neq 0$**:** Then $c_{33} = 2(c_{22} - c_{12})$. Thus

$$c_{33} = 2(a - b) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{31} + c_{32} = -c_{33} = 2(c_{12} - c_{22}) = 2(b - a).$$

So $c_{32} = 2(b - a) - c$. Thus we get the solutions

$$C_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -a & 0 \\ b & -b & 0 \\ c & 2(b-a)-c & 2(a-b) \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq b).$$

They also satisfy the other equations in Eq. (23) hence give all the Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbf{k}[CS(2)]$.

3.2.3. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(3)]$. Here we prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of all the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(3)]$ are given by $C_{3,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(3)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = 1, j = 1$ with $m = 2$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $m = 1, 3$; $i = 2, j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = 3, j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$c_{12}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}), \tag{40}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) + c_{12}c_{23} = c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{13}), \tag{41}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{13} + c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) + c_{12}c_{23} = 0, \tag{42}$$

$$(c_{21} + c_{23})(c_{21} + 2c_{22} + c_{23}) = 2(c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{22}c_{21}), \tag{43}$$

$$c_{22}^2 = 2(c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{22}^2), \tag{44}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{22}c_{23} = 0, \tag{45}$$

$$(c_{31} + c_{33})(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{32}(c_{31} + c_{33}) = 2c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}), \tag{46}$$

$$c_{32}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}), \tag{47}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) = 0. \tag{48}$$

Eq. (42) gives

$$c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) + c_{12}c_{23} = -c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{13}).$$

Thus by Eq. (41), we have $(c_{11}+c_{13})^2 = 0$, and so $c_{11}+c_{13} = 0$. Then by Eq. (40), we have $c_{12} = 0$. Thus Eq. (44) gives $c_{22} = 0$. By Eq. (45), we have $c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0$, and so $c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0$. Then Eq. (43) gives $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$. By Eq. (47), we have $c_{32} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (46) and (48), we can obtain $c_{31} + c_{33} = 0$. Let $a = c_{11}, b = c_{21}, c = c_{31}$. Thus solutions of Eqs. (40)-(48) are given by

$$C_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ b & 0 & -b \\ c & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}).$$

It can be checked that they also satisfy the other equations in Eq. (23) and hence give all the Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbf{k}[CS(3)]$.

3.2.4. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(4)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of all the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(4)]$ are given by $C_{4,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(4)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2 = 2c_{12}c_{13}, \tag{49}$$

$$c_{12}^2 + 2c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$c_{13}^2 + 2c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = 0, \tag{51}$$

$$c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{22}c_{23} + c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = 2c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{23}), \tag{52}$$

$$c_{22}^2 + 2c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0, \tag{53}$$

$$c_{23}^2 = 2c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + 2c_{22}c_{23}, \tag{54}$$

$$c_{31}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + c_{32}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + c_{32}c_{33} = 2c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32}), \tag{55}$$

$$c_{32}^2 = 2c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + 2c_{33}c_{32}, \tag{56}$$

$$c_{33}^2 + 2c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 0. \tag{57}$$

By Eqs. (50) and (51) and using Eq.(49), we have $(c_{11} + c_{12})^2 = 0$ and $(c_{11} + c_{13})^2 = 0$. So $c_{11} + c_{12} = 0$ and $c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$. Then Eq. (49) gives $c_{11}^2 = 2c_{11}^2$. Thus $c_{11} = 0$. Then we get

$c_{11} = c_{12} = c_{13} = 0$. By Eq. (53), we have $c_{22} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (52)and (54) give $c_{23} = 0$ and $c_{21} = 0$. Eq. (57) gives $c_{33} = 0$ and so Eqs. (55) and (56) give $c_{31} = c_{32} = 0$. Thus the system in Eq. (23) only has the zero solution $C_{4,1} = \mathbf{0}_{3\times 3}$.

3.2.5. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(5)]$. We now prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(5)]$ are given by $C_{5,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(5)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we get

$$c_{11}^2 = 0, \tag{58}$$
$$c_{13}^2 = 2c_{12}c_{11} + c_{12}^2, \tag{59}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{60}$$
$$c_{21}^2 = 2c_{21}c_{11}, \tag{61}$$
$$(c_{22} + c_{23})^2 = 2c_{21}c_{12} + 2c_{22}(c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{62}$$
$$c_{21}c_{13} + c_{23}(c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0, \tag{63}$$
$$c_{31}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{31}(c_{32} + c_{33}) = 2c_{11}c_{31} + 2c_{21}(c_{32} + c_{33}), \tag{64}$$
$$(c_{32} + c_{33})^2 = 2c_{12}c_{31} + 2c_{22}(c_{32} + c_{33}), \tag{65}$$
$$c_{13}c_{31} + c_{23}(c_{32} + c_{33}) = 0. \tag{66}$$

Note that Eq. (58) gives $c_{11} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (59) and (60), we have $c_{12}^2 = c_{13}^2$ and $c_{13}(c_{12}+c_{13}) = 0$. If $c_{12} + c_{13} \neq 0$, then $c_{13} = 0$, and so $c_{12} = 0$. This contradicts $c_{12} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Thus we get $c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. By Eq. (61), we have $c_{21} = 0$. So Eqs. (62) and (63) give $c_{22}^2 = c_{23}^2$ and $c_{23}(c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0$. If $c_{22} + c_{23} \neq 0$, then $c_{23} = 0$ and so $c_{22} = 0$, contradiction. Thus $c_{22} + c_{23} = 0$. By Eq. (66), we have $c_{12}c_{31}+c_{22}(c_{32}+c_{33}) = 0$. Then by Eq. (65), $(c_{32}+c_{33})^2 = 0$. So $c_{32}+c_{33} = 0$. Thus Eq. (64) gives $c_{31} = 0$. Then we know that Eqs. (58)-(66) are equivalent to

$$c_{11} = c_{21} = c_{31} = 0,\ c_{12} + c_{13} = 0,\ c_{22} + c_{23} = 0,\ c_{32} + c_{33} = 0.$$

Let $a = c_{12}, b = c_{22}, c = c_{32}$. Then we get solutions of Eqs. (58)-(66)

$$C_{5,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & b & -b \\ 0 & c & -c \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.2.6. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(6)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(6)]$ are given by $C_{6,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(6)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we get

$$c_{11}^2 = 0, \tag{67}$$
$$c_{12}^2 + 2c_{11}c_{12} = 0, \tag{68}$$
$$c_{13}^2 + 2c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12}) = 0, \tag{69}$$
$$c_{21}^2 = 2c_{11}c_{21}, \tag{70}$$
$$c_{22}^2 + 2c_{12}c_{21} = 0, \tag{71}$$
$$c_{23}^2 + 2c_{22}c_{23} + 2c_{13}c_{21} = 0, \tag{72}$$
$$c_{31}c_{32} + c_{31}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 2c_{11}c_{31} + 2c_{21}c_{32}, \tag{73}$$
$$c_{32}^2 = 2c_{31}c_{12} + 2c_{32}c_{22}, \tag{74}$$

$$c_{33}^2 + 2c_{13}c_{31} + 2c_{23}c_{32} = 0. \tag{75}$$

We note that Eqs. (67)-(69) give $c_{11} = c_{12} = c_{13} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (70)-(72), we have $c_{21} = c_{22} = c_{23} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (74) and (75) give $c_{32} = c_{33} = 0$. Then Eq. (73) gives $c_{31} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (67)-(75) only have the zero solution $C_{6,1} = \mathbf{0}_{3\times 3}$, as claimed.

3.2.7. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(7)]$. Here we prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(7)]$ are given by $C_{7,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(7)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2 = c_{13}^2, \tag{76}$$
$$c_{12}^2 + 2c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{77}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{78}$$
$$c_{21}(c_{21} + 2c_{23}) + c_{23}^2 = 2c_{21}c_{11} + 2c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{79}$$
$$c_{22}^2 + 2c_{21}c_{12} + 2c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{80}$$
$$c_{21}c_{13} + c_{23}c_{33} = 0, \tag{81}$$
$$c_{31}(c_{31} + 2c_{33}) + c_{33}^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{33}), \tag{82}$$
$$c_{32}^2 + 2c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{33}) = 0, \tag{83}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{33}) = 0. \tag{84}$$

Assume $c_{11} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Then by Eq. (78), we have $c_{13} = 0$. So by Eq. (76), we get $c_{11} = 0$, a contradiction. This means that $c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$. Thus Eq. (77) gives $c_{12} = 0$. Then by Eq. (83), we have $c_{32} = 0$. So Eq. (80) gives $c_{22} = 0$. By $c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$ and Eq. (84), we have $c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 0$. So by Eq. (82) we obtain $(c_{31} + c_{33})^2 = 0$. This means that $c_{31} + c_{33} = 0$. Thus by Eq. (81), we get $c_{21}c_{11} + c_{23}c_{31} = 0$ and then by Eq. (79), we get $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (76)-(84) are equivalent to $c_{12} = c_{22} = c_{32} = 0$ together with

$$c_{11} + c_{13} = 0,\ c_{21} + c_{23} = 0,\ c_{31} + c_{33} = 0,\ c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{33}) = 0,\ c_{21}(c_{13} - c_{33}) = 0,\ c_{31}(c_{11} + c_{33}) = 0.$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1. $c_{11} = 0$**:** Then we have $c_{13} = 0$ and $c_{31} = c_{33} = 0$. Denote $b = c_{21}$. Then $c_{23} = -b$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$C_{7,1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b \in \mathbf{k}).$$

Case 2. $c_{11} \neq 0$**:** Then we have $c_{13} \neq 0$. So we have $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$. Thus $c_{11} = -c_{33}$. This means that $c_{33} \neq 0$. Then Eqs. (76)-(84) are equivalent to

$$c_{12} = c_{22} = c_{32} = 0,\ c_{11} + c_{13} = 0,\ c_{21} + c_{23} = 0,\ c_{11} = c_{31},\ c_{13} = c_{33}.$$

Denote $a = c_{11}, b = c_{21}$. Then we obtain the solutions of Eqs. (76)-(84):

$$C_{7,1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ b & 0 & -b \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

In summary, the solutions of Eqs. (76)-(84) are

$$C_{7,1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ b & 0 & -b \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}).$$

3.2.8. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(8)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(8)]$ are given by $C_{8,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(8)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 2$, $j = 3$ with $m = 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2 = 0, \tag{85}$$
$$c_{13}^2 = c_{12}^2 + 2c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{13}), \tag{86}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{87}$$
$$c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{21}c_{23} = 2(c_{21}c_{11} + c_{23}c_{31}), \tag{88}$$
$$c_{23}^2 = c_{22}^2 + 2(c_{21}c_{12} + c_{23}c_{32}), \tag{89}$$
$$c_{21}c_{13} + c_{23}c_{33} = 0, \tag{90}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{31}) + c_{23}^2 + c_{33}^2 = 0, \tag{91}$$
$$c_{31}(c_{31} + 2c_{33}) = 2c_{31}c_{11} + 2c_{33}c_{21}, \tag{92}$$
$$c_{33}^2 = 2c_{31}c_{12} + 2c_{33}c_{22} + c_{32}^2. \tag{93}$$

Note that Eq. (85) gives $c_{11} = 0$. By Eqs. (86) and (87), we have $c_{12} = c_{13} = 0$. Thus by Eqs. (90) and (91), we have $c_{23}c_{33} = 0$ and $c_{23}^2 + c_{33}^2 = 0$. Then we get $c_{23} = c_{33} = 0$. So by Eqs. (88) and (89), we have $c_{21} = c_{22} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (92) and (93) give $c_{31} = c_{32} = 0$. So the only solution is the zero solution giving by the zero matrix $C_{8,1} = \mathbf{0}_{3\times 3}$, proving the claim.

3.2.9. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(9)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(9)]$ are given by $C_{9,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(9)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1$, $j = 3$ with $m = 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$, we obtain

$$c_{12}^2 + c_{13}^2 = c_{11}^2 + 2c_{13}c_{31}, \tag{94}$$
$$c_{13}c_{33} = 0, \tag{95}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{12}) + c_{13}c_{32} = 0, \tag{96}$$
$$c_{13}^2 + c_{33}^2 = 0, \tag{97}$$
$$(c_{21} + c_{22})^2 + c_{23}^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22}) + 2c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{98}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22}) + c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{99}$$
$$c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22}) + c_{23}c_{33}, \tag{100}$$
$$c_{32}^2 + c_{33}^2 = 2c_{33}c_{11} + c_{31}^2, \tag{101}$$
$$c_{33}c_{12} + c_{32}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 0. \tag{102}$$

Note that Eqs. (95) and (97) give $c_{13} = c_{33} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (94) and (96), we obtain $c_{11}+c_{12} = 0$. Similarly, Eqs. (101) and (102) give $c_{31} + c_{32} = 0$. By Eq. (100), we get $c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = 0$. Assume $c_{21} + c_{22} \neq 0$. Then we have $c_{23} = 0$. So by Eq. (99), $c_{11} = c_{12} = 0$. Thus Eq. (98) gives $c_{21} + c_{22} = 0$, a contradiction. So we must have $c_{21} + c_{22} = 0$. Then by Eq. (99), we have

$c_{23}c_{32} = 0$. So $c_{23}c_{31} = 0$. Thus Eq. (98) gives $c_{23} = 0$. Then Eqs. (94)-(102) are equivalent to

$$c_{13} = c_{23} = c_{33} = 0,\ c_{11} + c_{12} = 0,\ c_{21} + c_{22} = 0,\ c_{31} + c_{32} = 0.$$

Denote $a = c_{11}, b = c_{21}, c = c_{31}$. Then we get the solutions of Eqs. (94)-(102) are

$$C_{9,1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -a & 0 \\ b & -b & 0 \\ c & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}).$$

3.2.10. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(10)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(10)]$ are given by $C_{10,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(10)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 3$ with $m = 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$, we obtain

$$c_{13}^2 = c_{11}^2 + 2c_{13}c_{31}, \tag{103}$$
$$c_{12}^2 + 2c_{11}c_{12} + 2c_{13}c_{32} = 0, \tag{104}$$
$$c_{13}c_{33} = 0, \tag{105}$$
$$c_{13}^2 + c_{33}^2 = 0, \tag{106}$$
$$c_{21}^2 + c_{23}^2 = 2c_{21}c_{11} + 2c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{107}$$
$$c_{22}^2 + 2c_{21}c_{12} + 2c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{108}$$
$$c_{21}c_{23} = c_{21}c_{13} + c_{23}c_{33}, \tag{109}$$
$$c_{33}^2 = 2c_{33}c_{11} + c_{31}^2, \tag{110}$$
$$c_{32}^2 + 2c_{33}c_{12} + 2c_{32}c_{31} = 0. \tag{111}$$

First Eqs. (105) and (106) give $c_{13} = c_{33} = 0$. Thus by Eqs. (103) and (104), we have $c_{11} = c_{12} = 0$. By Eqs. (110) and (111), we get $c_{31} = c_{32} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (107) and (109), we have $c_{21}^2 + c_{23}^2 = 0$ and $c_{21}c_{23} = 0$. Thus we have $c_{21} = c_{23} = 0$. Further, by Eq. (108), we have $c_{22} = 0$. We see that the system only has the zero solution given by the zero matrix $C_{10,1} = \mathbf{0}_{3\times 3}$.

3.2.11. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(11)]$. We prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(11)]$ are given by $C_{11,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(11)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $2 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 2$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$(c_{12} + c_{13})^2 = c_{11}^2 + 2c_{21}(c_{12} + c_{13}), \tag{112}$$
$$c_{11}c_{13} = c_{22}(c_{12} + c_{13}), \tag{113}$$
$$c_{11}c_{13} + c_{23}(c_{12} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{114}$$
$$c_{11}c_{23} + c_{21}c_{13} = c_{12}(c_{12} + c_{13}) + c_{22}(c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{115}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{21}) + c_{23}(c_{11} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0, \tag{116}$$
$$(c_{22} + c_{23})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{22} + c_{23}) + c_{21}^2, \tag{117}$$
$$c_{21}c_{23} = c_{12}(c_{22} + c_{23}), \tag{118}$$
$$c_{31}^2 + (c_{32} + c_{33})^2 = 2c_{11}(c_{32} + c_{33}) + 2c_{31}c_{21}, \tag{119}$$
$$c_{31}(c_{32} + c_{33}) = c_{12}(c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{31}c_{22}, \tag{120}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{31}c_{23} = 0. \tag{121}$$

By Eq. (116), we get

$$c_{13}c_{21} + c_{23}c_{11} = -c_{13}(c_{12} + c_{13}) - c_{23}(c_{22} + c_{23}).$$

Then by Eq. (115), we get $(c_{12}+c_{13})^2+(c_{22}+c_{23})^2 = 0$. Eqs. (113) and (114) give $(c_{22}+c_{23})(c_{12}+c_{13}) = 0$. Thus we get $c_{22} + c_{23} = 0$ and $c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. Then by Eqs. (112) and (117), we get $c_{11} = c_{21} = 0$. By Eq. (121), we have $c_{12}(c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{31}c_{22} = 0$. So by Eq. (120), we have $c_{31}(c_{32} + c_{33}) = 0$. With Eq. (119) and $c_{11} = c_{21} = 0$, we get $c_{31} = 0$ and $c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (112)-(121) are equivalent to

$$c_{11} = c_{21} = c_{31} = 0,\ c_{12} + c_{13} = 0,\ c_{22} + c_{23} = 0,\ c_{32} + c_{33} = 0.$$

Let $a = c_{12}, b = c_{22}$ and $c = c_{32}$. Then we obtain the solutions of Eqs. (112)-(121)

$$C_{11,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & b & -b \\ 0 & c & -c \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}).$$

3.2.12. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[CS(12)]$. We finally prove that the matrices $C_P = (c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[CS(12)]$ are given by $C_{12,1}$ in Table 4.

Applying the Cayley table of $CS(12)$ in Eqs. (23) and taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $m = 2, 3$; $i = 1, j = 3$ with $m = 2, 3$; $i = j = 2$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 2, j = 3$ with $m = 2, 3$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$2c_{12}c_{13} = c_{11}^2 + 2c_{12}c_{21} + 2c_{13}c_{31}, \tag{122}$$
$$c_{13}^2 = 2c_{12}c_{22} + 2c_{13}c_{32}, \tag{123}$$
$$c_{12}^2 = 2c_{12}c_{23} + 2c_{13}c_{33}, \tag{124}$$
$$c_{13}c_{23} = c_{12}c_{13} + c_{22}^2 + c_{32}(c_{12} + c_{23}), \tag{125}$$
$$c_{12}c_{22} = c_{13}^2 + c_{23}c_{22} + c_{33}(c_{12} + c_{23}), \tag{126}$$
$$c_{13}c_{33} = c_{12}^2 + c_{22}(c_{13} + c_{32}) + c_{32}c_{33}, \tag{127}$$
$$c_{12}c_{32} = c_{12}c_{13} + c_{23}(c_{13} + c_{32}) + c_{33}^2, \tag{128}$$
$$2c_{22}c_{23} = 2c_{23}c_{11} + c_{21}^2 + 2c_{22}c_{31}, \tag{129}$$
$$c_{23}^2 = 2c_{23}c_{12} + 2c_{22}c_{32}, \tag{130}$$
$$c_{22}^2 = 2c_{23}c_{13} + 2c_{22}c_{33}, \tag{131}$$
$$c_{23}c_{33} = c_{12}(c_{22} + c_{33}) + c_{22}c_{23} + c_{32}^2, \tag{132}$$
$$c_{22}c_{32} = c_{13}(c_{22} + c_{33}) + c_{23}^2 + c_{33}c_{32}, \tag{133}$$
$$2c_{32}c_{33} = 2c_{11}c_{32} + 2c_{33}c_{21} + c_{31}^2, \tag{134}$$
$$c_{33}^2 = 2c_{12}c_{32} + 2c_{33}c_{22}, \tag{135}$$
$$c_{32}^2 = 2c_{13}c_{32} + 2c_{33}c_{23}. \tag{136}$$

Denote $a = c_{13}, b = c_{23}$ and $c = c_{33}$. By Eqs. (130) and (135), we get

$$\begin{cases} 2c_{12}c_{22}c_{32} = b^2c_{12} - 2bc_{12}^2, \\ 2c_{12}c_{22}c_{32} = c^2c_{22} - 2cc_{22}^2. \end{cases}$$

So

$$2bc_{12}^2 - b^2c_{12} = 2cc_{22}^2 - c^2c_{22}. \tag{137}$$

By Eqs. (124) and (131), we have

$$\begin{cases} 4abc = 2bc_{12}^2 - 4b^2c_{12}^2, \\ 4abc = 2cc_{22}^2 - 4c^2c_{22}. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} 2bc_{12}^2 - b^2c_{12} = 4abc + 3b^2c_{12}, \\ 2cc_{22}^2 - c^2c_{22} = 4abc + 3c^2c_{22}. \end{cases}$$

Using Eq. (137), we obtain $b^2c_{12} = c^2c_{22}$, and so $bc_{12}^2 = cc_{22}^2$. Similarly, by Eqs. (123) and (130), and Eqs. (124) and (136), we get $b^2c_{12} = a^2c_{32}$ and $bc_{12}^2 = ac_{32}^2$, respectively. This means that

$$b^2c_{12} = c^2c_{22} = a^2c_{32} \tag{138}$$

and

$$bc_{12}^2 = cc_{22}^2 = ac_{32}^2. \tag{139}$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1. abc=0: There are three subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. a=b=c=0: Then by Eqs. (124), (132) and (125), we have $c_{12} = 0, c_{32} = 0$ and $c_{22} = 0$. By Eqs. (122), (129) and (134), we obtain $c_{11} = c_{21} = c_{31} = 0$. Thus the only solution is given by the zero matrix $C_{12,1} := \mathbf{0}_{3\times3}$.

Subcase 2. Two of a, b, c are 0: Without loss of generality, we may assume $a = b = 0$ and $c \neq 0$. From Eq. (136), we obtain $c_{32} = 0$. Then by Eq. (128), we have $c = 0$, a contradiction. Thus this case can not occur.

Subcase 3. One of a, b, c is 0: We may assume without loss of generality that $a = 0$, $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. By Eq. (123), we have $c_{12}c_{22} = 0$. Then $c_{12} = 0$ or $c_{22} = 0$. If $c_{12} = 0$, then by Eq. (126), we have $c_{33} + c_{22} = 0$, and so $c_{22} = -c \neq 0$. By Eq. (131), $3c^2 = 0$. Thus $c = 0$. This contradicts $c \neq 0$. If $c_{22} = 0$, then by Eq. (126), $b = -c_{12}$. By Eq. (124), we have $3b^2 = 0$. Thus $b = 0$, a contradiction. We see that this case also can not occur.

Case 2. abc $\neq$ 0: Then $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. If one of c_{12}, c_{22}, c_{32} is 0, we may assume $c_{12} = 0$, and then by Eqs. (138 and (139), we obtain $c_{22} = c_{32} = 0$. So Eq. (123) gives $a^2 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus c_{12}, c_{22} and c_{32} are nonzero. Since $b^2c_{12} = c^2c_{22}$ and $bc_{12}^2 = cc_{22}^2$, we have $b^2c_{12}^2 = bcc_{22}^2$. So $c^2c_{22}c_{12} = bcc_{22}^2$. Thus $cc_{12} = bc_{22}$. Similarly, we have $ac_{12} = bc_{32}$ and $ac_{22} = cc_{32}$. So $b^3c_{12} = c^2bc_{22} = c^3c_{12}$. Thus $a^3 = c^3$. Similarly, we have $a^3 = b^3$. By Eqs. (130) and (133), we get

$$b^2 + 2bc_{12} + 2ac_{22} + 2cc_{32} + 2ac = 0.$$

Since $ac_{22} = cc_{32}$, we have $b^2 + 2bc_{12} + 4ac_{22} + 2ac = 0$. So $b^3 + 2b^2c_{12} + 4abc_{22} + 2abc = 0$. Since $b^2c_{12} = c^2c_{22}$, we have

$$2(c^2 + 2ab)c_{22} = -b^3 - 2abc = -c^3 - 2abc. \tag{140}$$

We further divide into two subcases.

Subcase 1. $c^2 + 2ab \neq 0$**:** By Eq. (140), we have $c_{22} = -\frac{c}{2}$. From $cc_{12} = bc_{22}$ and $ac_{22} = cr_{32}$, we obtain $c_{12} = -\frac{b}{2}$ and $c_{32} = -\frac{a}{2}$. By Eq. (123), we have $a^2 = \frac{bc}{2} - a^2$. So $a^2 = \frac{bc}{4}$. By Eq. (136), we get $\frac{5}{4}a^2 = 2bc$. Thus we get $a^2 = \frac{8}{5}bc$. So by $a^2 = \frac{bc}{4}$, we get $bc = 0$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2. $c^2+2ab = 0$**:** Then $c^4 = 4a^2b^2$. Adding Eqs. (131) and (135), we obtain $c_{22}^2+2c_{12}c_{32} = 0$. So we have $a^2b^2c_{22}^2 + 2a^2b^2c_{12}c_{32} = 0$. By Eq. (138), we have $(a^2b^2 + 2c^4)c_{22}^2 = 0$. Since

$c_{22} \neq 0$, we have $a^2b^2 + 2c^4 = 0$. Then by $c^4 = 4a^2b^2$, we have $9(ab)^2 = 0$, and so $ab = 0$, again a contradiction.

In summary, the only solution for $\mathbf{k}[CS(12)]$ is the zero solution $\mathbf{0}_{3\times3}$, as claimed.

Now the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

4. Rota-Baxter operators on noncommutative semigroup algebras of order 3

In this Section, we classify all Rota-Baxter operators on noncommutative semigroup algebras of order 3.

4.1. **Statement of the classification theorem in the noncommutative case.**

A classification of the six noncommutative semigroups of order 3, up to isomorphism and anti-isomorphism, is given in Table 5.

Table 5. Cayley table of noncommutative semigroups of order 3

$NCS(1) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_1
e_3	e_1	e_3	e_1

$NCS(2) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_1
e_3	e_3	e_3	e_3

$NCS(3) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_2
e_3	e_1	e_3	e_3

$NCS(4) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_2	e_2	e_2
e_3	e_1	e_1	e_1

$NCS(5) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_2	e_2	e_2
e_3	e_3	e_3	e_3

$NCS(6) :=$

$\cdot$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	e_1	e_1
e_2	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_3	e_3	e_3	e_3

For Rota-Baxter operators on the corresponding semigroup algebras, we have the following classification theorem whose proof will be given in Section 4.2

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{k}$ *be a field of characteristic zero that is closed under taking square root. The matrices of the Rota-Baxter operators on noncommutative semigroup algebras of order three are given in Table 6, where all the parameters take values in* $\mathbf{k}$ *and* i *denotes* $\sqrt{-1}$ *as usual.*

Table 6: RBOs on noncomumutative semigroup algebras of order 3

Semigroups	Matrices of Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras
$NCS(1)$	$N_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, N_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & a & 0 \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0), N_{1,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b+c & -c & b \\ \frac{c^2-bc}{b} & -\frac{c^2}{b} & c \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0, b \neq 0),$ $N_{1,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & a \\ -b & -\frac{b^2}{a} & b \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0), N_{1,5} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ -b & 0 & b \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0)$
$NCS(2)$	$N_{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0), N_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, N_{2,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$ $N_{2,4} = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{b^2}{a} & 0 & -b \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a+b \neq 0), N_{2,5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0),$ $N_{2,6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0), N_{2,7} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 & a \\ c & 0 & -c \\ -a & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0),$ $N_{2,8} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & b & a \\ -a & b & a \\ b-a & \frac{b(a-b)}{a} & a-b \end{pmatrix} (a \neq 0, b \neq 0), N_{2,9} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (b \neq 0)$

Continued on next page

Table 6: Rota-Baxter operators on noncomumutative semigroup algebras of order 3

Semigroups	Matrices of Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras
$NCS(3)$	$N_{3,1}=\begin{pmatrix}0&a&-a\\0&b&-b\\0&b&-b\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{3,2}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&-\sqrt{abi}&b\\0&a&\sqrt{abi}\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,b\neq 0),$ $N_{3,3}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&\sqrt{abi}&b\\0&a&-\sqrt{abi}\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,b\neq 0), N_{3,4}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&a&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0),$ $N_{3,5}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\-a&a&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{3,6}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\c&-(b+c)&b\\\frac{c(b+c)}{b}&-\frac{(b+c)^2}{b}&b+c\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,b\neq 0,b+c\neq 0),$ $N_{3,7}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&b\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, N_{3,8}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\-b&0&b\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}(b\neq 0)$
$NCS(4)$	$N_{4,1}=\begin{pmatrix}-a&0&a\\b&0&-b\\c&0&-c\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,a+b\neq 0), N_{4,2}=\begin{pmatrix}-a&0&a\\-a&0&a\\c&d&-c-d\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0),$ $N_{4,3}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\-a&0&a\\b&0&-b\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{4,4}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\b&c&-(b+c)\end{pmatrix}(c\neq 0),$ $N_{4,5}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\a&0&0\\b&0&-b\end{pmatrix}, N_{4,6}=\begin{pmatrix}a&-(a+b)&b\\a&-(a+b)&b\\c&d&-(c+d)\end{pmatrix}(b\neq 0,a+b\neq 0),$ $N_{4,7}=\begin{pmatrix}a&b&0\\-\frac{a^2}{b}&-a&0\\c&b&a-c\end{pmatrix}(a+b\neq 0,b\neq 0), N_{4,8}=\begin{pmatrix}-b&b&0\\-b&b&0\\c&d&-c-d\end{pmatrix}(b\neq 0)$
$NCS(5)$	$N_{5,1}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{ac}{F}&\frac{ab}{F}&a\\\frac{cd}{F}&\frac{bd}{F}&d\\c&b&F\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,2}=\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{ac}{F}&-\frac{ab}{F}&a\\-\frac{cd}{F}&-\frac{bd}{F}&d\\c&b&-F\end{pmatrix}(a,b,c,d\in\mathbf{k}\setminus\{0\}), F:=\sqrt{-(ac+bd)},$ $N_{5,3}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\-\frac{c\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{b}}i&-\sqrt{bd}i&d\\c&b&\sqrt{bd}i\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,4}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\\frac{c\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{b}}i&\sqrt{bd}i&d\\c&b&-\sqrt{bd}i\end{pmatrix}(b,c,d\in\mathbf{k}\setminus\{0\}),$ $N_{5,5}=\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{ac}i&0&a\\-\frac{\sqrt{c}d}{\sqrt{a}}i&0&d\\c&0&\sqrt{ac}i\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,6}=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{ac}i&0&a\\\frac{\sqrt{c}d}{\sqrt{a}}i&0&d\\c&0&-\sqrt{ac}i\end{pmatrix}(a,c,d\in\mathbf{k}\setminus\{0\}),$ $N_{5,7}=\begin{pmatrix}0&-\frac{a\sqrt{b}i}{\sqrt{d}}&a\\0&-\sqrt{bd}i&d\\0&b&\sqrt{bd}i\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,8}=\begin{pmatrix}0&\frac{a\sqrt{b}i}{\sqrt{d}}&a\\0&\sqrt{bd}i&d\\0&b&-\sqrt{bd}i\end{pmatrix}(a,b,c,d\in\mathbf{k}\setminus\{0\}),$ $N_{5,9}=\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{ac}i&-\frac{\sqrt{ab}i}{\sqrt{c}}&a\\0&0&0\\c&b&\sqrt{ac}i\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,10}=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{ac}i&\frac{\sqrt{ab}i}{\sqrt{c}}&a\\0&0&0\\c&b&-\sqrt{ac}i\end{pmatrix}(a,b,c\in\mathbf{k}\setminus\{0\}),$ $N_{5,11}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&-\sqrt{db}i&d\\0&b&\sqrt{db}i\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,12}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&\sqrt{db}i&d\\0&b&-\sqrt{db}i\end{pmatrix}(b\neq 0,d\neq 0),$ $N_{5,13}=\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{eb}{c}&-\frac{eb^2}{c^2}&0\\e&\frac{eb}{c}&0\\c&b&0\end{pmatrix}(b\neq 0,c\neq 0), N_{5,14}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{ae}{d}&-\frac{a^2e}{d^2}&a\\e&-\frac{ae}{d}&d\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,d\neq 0),$ $N_{5,15}=\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{ac}i&0&a\\0&0&0\\c&0&\sqrt{ac}i\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,16}=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{ac}i&0&a\\0&0&0\\c&0&-\sqrt{ac}i\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,c\neq 0),$ $N_{5,17}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\e&0&d\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}(d\neq 0), N_{5,18}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\e&0&0\\c&0&0\end{pmatrix}(c\neq 0), N_{5,19}=\begin{pmatrix}0&e&0\\0&0&0\\0&b&0\end{pmatrix}(b\neq 0),$ $N_{5,20}=\begin{pmatrix}0&e&a\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{5,21}=\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{ef}i&e&0\\f&\sqrt{ef}i&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, N_{5,22}=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{ef}i&e&0\\f&-\sqrt{ef}i&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$

Continued on next page

Table 6: Rota-Baxter operators on noncomumutative semigroup algebras of order 3

Semigroups	Matrices of Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras
$NCS(6)$	$N_{6,1}=\begin{pmatrix}a&0&a\\0&0&0\\-a&0&-a\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{6,2}=\begin{pmatrix}a&0&a\\-c&0&c\\-a&0&-a\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0),$ $N_{6,3}=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{abi}&0&a\\0&0&0\\b&0&-\sqrt{abi}\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,b\neq 0), N_{6,4}=\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{abi}&0&a\\0&0&0\\b&0&\sqrt{abi}\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0,b\neq 0),$ $N_{6,5}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&a\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{6,6}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\a&0&-a\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, N_{6,7}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\a&0&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0),$ $N_{6,8}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\a&-a&0\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0), N_{6,9}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{ab}{a-b}&0&\frac{a^2}{b-a}\\0&0&0\\\frac{b^2}{a-b}&b&\frac{ab}{b-a}\end{pmatrix}(a\neq 0)$

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** We will prove Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.2.1-4.2.6, one for each of the six semigroups in Table 5.

4.2.1. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[NCS(1)]$. We first prove that the matrices $(c_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 3}$ of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[NCS(1)]$ are given by $N_{1,i}, 1\leqslant i\leqslant 5$, in Table 6.

Applying the Cayley table of $NCS(1)$ in Eqs. (23) and then taking $i=1$, $j=1,2$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$; $i=j=2$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$; $i=2$, $j=3$ with $m=1$; $i=3$, $j=2$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$ and $i=j=3$ with $m=1,3$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2=c_{13}^2+c_{12}c_{13}, \tag{141}$$
$$c_{12}^2=2c_{12}(c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}), \tag{142}$$
$$2c_{13}^2+c_{13}(2c_{11}+c_{12})=0, \tag{143}$$
$$c_{12}c_{23}+c_{13}(c_{21}+c_{23})=c_{11}^2+c_{13}c_{31}, \tag{144}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{11}+c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23})+c_{13}c_{32}=0, \tag{145}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{11}+c_{21}+c_{23})+c_{12}c_{23}+c_{13}c_{33}=0, \tag{146}$$
$$c_{21}(c_{21}+c_{23})+c_{23}(c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23})=c_{11}(2c_{21}+c_{23})+c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{147}$$
$$c_{22}^2+c_{23}c_{32}+c_{12}(2c_{21}+c_{23})=0, \tag{148}$$
$$c_{23}(c_{22}+c_{33})+c_{13}(2c_{21}+c_{23})=0, \tag{149}$$
$$(c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23})(c_{31}+c_{33})=c_{11}(c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}+c_{31}+c_{33}), \tag{150}$$
$$(c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33})(c_{21}+c_{23})=c_{11}(c_{31}+c_{21}+c_{23})+c_{32}c_{21}+c_{31}c_{33}, \tag{151}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{31}+c_{21}+c_{23})+c_{32}(c_{33}+c_{22})=0, \tag{152}$$
$$c_{33}^2+c_{23}c_{32}+c_{13}(c_{31}+c_{21}+c_{23})=0, \tag{153}$$
$$(c_{31}+c_{33})(c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33})=c_{11}(2c_{31}+c_{32}+2c_{33}), \tag{154}$$
$$c_{13}(2c_{31}+c_{32}+2c_{33})=0. \tag{155}$$

By Eq. (143) we have $c_{12}c_{13}=-2c_{13}^2-2c_{11}c_{13}$. Then by Eq. (141) we get $(c_{11}+c_{13})^2=0$. So we get $c_{11}+c_{13}=0$. Then Eq. (142) gives $c_{12}=0$. We divide the rest of the proof into two cases depending on whether or not $c_{11}=0$.

Case 1. $c_{11}=0$**:** Then $c_{13}=0$. There are two subcases to consider.

Subcases 1. $c_{23} = 0$**:** Then by Eqs. (148) and (153), we have $c_{22} = c_{33} = 0$. So by Eq. (147), we get $c_{21} = 0$. Denote $a = c_{32}$, where $a \in \mathbf{k}$. If $c_{31} = 0$, then we get the solution

$$N_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}).$$

If $c_{31} \neq 0$, then by Eq. (154), we have $c_{31} + c_{32} = 0$. Thus we get $c_{31} = -c_{32} = -a$. So $a \neq 0$. Thus we obtain the solution

$$N_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Subcase 2. $c_{23} \neq 0$**:** Denote $a = c_{23}$. Then $a \neq 0$. By Eq. (149), we have $c_{22} + c_{33} = 0$. Denote $b = c_{33}$. Then $c_{22} = -b$. Thus Eq. (148) gives $c_{32} = -\frac{b^2}{a}$. We subdivide further into two cases.

(1) If $c_{31} + c_{33} \neq 0$, then by Eq. (154), we have $c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$. So we get

$$c_{31} = -c_{32} - c_{33} = \frac{b^2 - ba}{a}.$$

Note that if $c_{33} = b = 0$, then $c_{31} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $b \neq 0$, and so $c_{32} \neq 0$. By Eq. (150), we have $c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = 0$. Thus $c_{21} = b - a$. Thus we get the solutions

$$N_{1,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b-a & -b & a \\ \frac{b(b-a)}{a} & -\frac{b^2}{a} & b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

(2) If $c_{31} + c_{33} = 0$, then $c_{31} = -b$. Since $c_{22} = -b$, $c_{31} - c_{22} = 0$. Then by Eq. (147), we have $(c_{21} + c_{23})^2 = c_{23}(c_{31} - c_{22}) = 0$. Thus $c_{21} = -a$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{1,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & a \\ -b & -\frac{b^2}{a} & b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Case 2. $c_{11} \neq 0$**:** Denote $a = c_{11}$. Then $c_{13} = -a \neq 0$. Since $c_{12} = 0$, Eq. (145) becomes $c_{13}c_{32} = 0$. Then $c_{32} = 0$. So by Eq. (148), we obtain $c_{22} = 0$. Further, by Eq. (155), we have $c_{31} + c_{33} = 0$. Thus by Eq. (150), $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$.

We divide into two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $c_{23} = 0$**:** Then $c_{21} = 0$. By Eq. (144), $c_{11}^2 + c_{13}c_{31} = 0$. Then we obtain $c_{31} = a$. So we have $c_{33} = -a$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{1,5_1} := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Subcase 2. $c_{23} \neq 0$**:** Denote $b = c_{23}$. Then $b \neq 0$. Thus by $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$, we have $c_{21} = -b$ and by Eq. (149), $c_{13}c_{21} + c_{23}c_{33} = 0$, we get $c_{33} = -a$. Then we solutions

$$N_{1,5_2} := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ -b & 0 & b \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

In summary, we get get solutions of Eqs. (141)-(155)

$$N_{1,5} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -a \\ -b & 0 & b \\ a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

It can be checked that they also satisfy the other equations in Eq. (23) and hence give matrices of Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbf{k}[NCS(1)]$.

4.2.2. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[NCS(2)]$. We next prove that the matrices of Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[NCS(2)]$ are given by $N_{2,i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 9$, in Table 6.

Applying the Cayley table of $NCS(2)$ in Eqs. (23) and then taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $m = 3$; $i = 2, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 3, j = 2$ with $m = 1$ and $i = j = 3$ with $1 \leq m \leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$c_{12}c_{13} = c_{11}^2 + c_{13}c_{31}, \tag{156}$$
$$c_{12}^2 + 2c_{11}c_{12} + c_{13}(c_{12} + c_{32}) = 0, \tag{157}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{33}) = 0, \tag{158}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{33}) + c_{12}c_{23} = 0, \tag{159}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = c_{11}(c_{11} + c_{13}) + c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{160}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{11} + c_{13}) + c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{161}$$
$$c_{23}(c_{11} + c_{13}) = c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{11} + c_{13}) + c_{23}c_{33}, \tag{162}$$
$$c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{22}c_{23} = c_{11}(2c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{163}$$
$$c_{22}^2 + c_{12}(2c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{164}$$
$$c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = c_{13}(2c_{21} + c_{23}) + c_{23}(c_{22} + c_{33}), \tag{165}$$
$$(c_{21} + c_{22})(c_{31} + c_{33}) = c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{31} + c_{33}) + c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{166}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{31} + c_{33}) + c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{167}$$
$$c_{23}c_{31} = c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{31} + c_{33}), \tag{168}$$
$$c_{32}c_{23} = c_{31}(c_{11} + c_{33}), \tag{169}$$
$$c_{32}(c_{31} + c_{33}) = c_{11}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + c_{31}c_{33}, \tag{170}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{32}) + c_{32}(c_{31} + 2c_{33}) = 0, \tag{171}$$
$$c_{33}^2 + c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 0. \tag{172}$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $c_{12} = 0$**:** Then Eqs. (161) and (164) give $c_{22} = 0$. There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $c_{13} = 0$**:** Then by Eqs. (156) and (172), we have $c_{11} = 0$ and $c_{33} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (160) and (162) give $c_{23}c_{31} = 0$ and $c_{23}c_{33} = 0$. Thus by Eqs. (163)and (165), we have $c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0$ and $c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0$. So $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$.

(1) Let $c_{21} \neq 0$. Denote $c = c_{21}$. Then by $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$, we have $c_{23} = -c$. So $c_{23} \neq 0$. Thus $c_{31} = 0$. By Eq. (161), we have $c_{32} = 0$. Thus we get the solutions

$$N_{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (c \in \mathbf{k}, c \neq 0).$$

(2) Let $c_{21} = 0$. Then $c_{23} = 0$. By Eq. (170), we have $c_{32}c_{31} = 0$. Thus $c_{32} = 0$ or $c_{31} = 0$. Let $c_{31} = a$, and let $c_{32} = b$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$. Thus $ab = 0$. Then we get the solutions

$$N_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{2,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b \in \mathbf{k}).$$

Subcase 2. $c_{13} \neq 0$**:** Denote $a = c_{13}$. By Eq. (157), we have $c_{13}c_{32} = 0$ and hence $c_{32} = 0$. Eq. (158) gives $c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{33}) = 0$ and hence $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$. Denote $b = c_{11}$. Then $c_{33} = -b$. So Eq. (156) gives $c_{31} = -\frac{b^2}{a}$. By Eq. (168), we have $c_{21} = -\frac{b^2}{a^2}c_{23} + \frac{b^2}{a} + b$. Using Eq. (166), we obtain

$$b^2(a+b)^2c_{23} = ab^2(a+b)^2. \tag{173}$$

We continue by subdividing further.

(1) Let $c_{11} + c_{13} \neq 0$. Then $a + b \neq 0$.
   (a) If $b \neq 0$, then by Eq. (173), we have $c_{23} = a$. Thus $c_{21} = b$. So we obtain the solutions

$$N_{2,4} = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & a \\ b & 0 & a \\ -\frac{b^2}{a} & 0 & -b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a + b \neq 0).$$

   (b) If $b = 0$, then $c_{11} = c_{33} = 0$, $c_{21} = 0$ and $c_{31} = 0$. By Eq. (165), we have $c_{23}^2 = c_{13}c_{23}$. Thus we get $c_{23} = 0$ or $c_{23} = a$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{2,5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{2,6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \neq 0).$$

(2) Let $c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$. Then $a + b = 0$. So $b = -a \neq 0$. Thus $c_{11} = -a$ and $c_{33} = -c_{11} = a$. By Eq. (156), we have $c_{31} = -\frac{c_{11}^2}{c_{13}} = -a$. Since $c_{21} = -\frac{b^2}{a^2}c_{23} + \frac{b^2}{a} + b$, we have $c_{21} = -c_{23}$. Let $c_{21} = c$, where $c \in \mathbf{k}$. Then we obtain the Rota-Baxter operators

$$N_{2,7} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 & a \\ c & 0 & -c \\ -a & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, c \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Case 2. $c_{12} \neq 0$**:** Denote $b = c_{12}$. Then $b \neq 0$. Also denote $a = c_{13}$. By Eqs. (161) and (167), we get $c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{13} - c_{31} - c_{33}) = 0$. Thus

$$c_{11} + c_{13} = c_{31} + c_{33}. \tag{174}$$

There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $a \neq 0$**:** Then Eqs. (158) and (159) give $c_{12}(c_{23} - c_{13}) = 0$. So $c_{23} = c_{13} = a$. By Eq. (158), we have $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{33} = 0$. Using Eqs. (156) and (158), we obtain $c_{11}^2 + c_{11}c_{13} + c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{33}) = 0$. So by Eq. (174), we get $(c_{11} + c_{13})^2 = 0$. Thus $c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$. Then we get $c_{11} = -c_{13} = -a$, and so $c_{33} = -c_{11} - c_{12} = a - b$. Since $c_{31} + c_{33} = c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$, we have $c_{31} = b - a$. By Eq. (160), we get $c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22}) = c_{23}c_{31}$. Since $c_{13} = c_{23}$, we have $c_{21} + c_{22} = c_{31} = b - a$. By Eq. (169), we have

$$c_{32} = \frac{c_{31}(c_{11} + c_{33})}{c_{23}} = \frac{b(a-b)}{a}.$$

Thus Eq. (164) gives $c_{22} = b$. So $c_{21} = -a$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{2,8} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & b & a \\ -a & b & a \\ b-a & \frac{b(a-b)}{a} & a-b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \neq 0, b \neq 0).$$

Subcase 2 $a = 0$**.** Then $c_{13} = 0$. By Eqs. (156) and (172), we have $c_{11} = c_{33} = 0$. By Eq. (159), we have $c_{12}c_{23} = 0$, and so $c_{23} = 0$. By Eq. (174), we have $c_{31} = 0$. Thus Eq. (163) gives $c_{21} = 0$. So Eq. (164) gives $c_{22} = 0$. By Eq. (171), we have $c_{32} = 0$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{2,9} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

4.2.3. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[NCS(3)]$. We now show that the matrices of the Rota-Baxter operators P on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[NCS(3)]$ are given by $N_{3,i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 8$, in Table 6.

Applying the Cayley table of $NCS(3)$ in Eqs. (23) and then taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $m = 1$; $i = 1, j = 3$ with $m = 2$; $i = 2, j = 1$ with $m = 2$; $i = 2, j = 2$ with $m = 1, 2$; $i = 2, j = 3$ with $m = 1, 2$; $i = 3, j = 1$ with $m = 2$; $i = 3, j = 2$ with $2 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$ and $i = 3, j = 3$ with $m = 1$, we obtain

$$c_{11} = 0, \tag{175}$$
$$c_{12}^2 + c_{12}c_{13} = 0, \tag{176}$$
$$c_{13}(c_{12} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{177}$$
$$c_{12}c_{21} + c_{13}c_{31} = 0, \tag{178}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{22}) + c_{13}c_{32} = 0, \tag{179}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = 0, \tag{180}$$
$$c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{181}$$
$$c_{22}^2 + 2c_{12}c_{21} + c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{182}$$
$$c_{21}c_{22} = c_{31}(c_{21} + c_{22}), \tag{183}$$
$$c_{22}^2 + c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{31}) + c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{184}$$
$$c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) = 0, \tag{185}$$
$$c_{32}(c_{22} + c_{33}) + c_{12}(c_{31} + c_{21}) = 0, \tag{186}$$
$$c_{33}^2 + c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{21}) + c_{32}c_{23} = 0, \tag{187}$$
$$c_{31}^2 + c_{31}c_{32} = c_{32}c_{21}. \tag{188}$$

First note that Eqs. (175)-(177) give $c_{11} = 0$ and $c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. We consider the two cases when $c_{12} = 0$ and $c_{12} \neq 0$.

Case 1. $c_{12} \neq 0$**:** Denote $a = c_{12}$. Then we get $c_{13} = -c_{12} = -a$. By Eq. (178), we get $c_{21} = c_{31}$. Thus Eq. (181) gives $c_{21}^2 + c_{21}c_{23} = c_{23}c_{21}$. So $c_{21} = c_{31} = 0$. Denote $b = c_{22}$. Then by Eq. (180), we get $c_{22} + c_{23} = 0$. Thus $c_{23} = -b$. By Eq. (185), we have $c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$. From Eq. (179), we obtain $c_{12}c_{22} + c_{13}c_{32} = 0$. Thus we get $c_{22} = c_{32}$. So $c_{32} = b$ and then $c_{33} = -b$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & b & -b \\ 0 & b & -b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Case 2 $c_{12}=0$**.** Then $c_{13}=0$. Let $b=c_{23}$. There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $c_{32}\neq 0$**:** Denote $a=c_{32}$. Then $a\neq 0$. By Eq. (186), we have $c_{32}(c_{22}+c_{33})=0$. Since $c_{32}\neq 0$, we have $c_{22}=-c_{33}$. By Eq. (182), we have $c_{22}^2=-c_{23}c_{32}=-ab$. Thus $c_{22}=\pm\sqrt{abi}$ and $c_{33}=\mp\sqrt{abi}$.

(1) Let $c_{21}=0$. Then by Eq. (181), we have $c_{23}c_{31}=0$.

(a) Let $c_{23}\neq 0$. Then $b\neq 0$. So we have $c_{31}=0$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{3,2}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&\sqrt{abi}&b\\0&a&-\sqrt{abi}\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad N_{3,3}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&-\sqrt{abi}&b\\0&a&\sqrt{abi}\end{pmatrix}\quad(a\neq 0,b\neq 0).$$

(b) Let $c_{23}=0$. Then $b=0$. By Eq. (184), we have $c_{22}=0$. Since $c_{13}=0$ and $c_{23}=0$, Eqs. (181) and (187) give $c_{21}=0$ and $c_{33}=0$. By Eq. (188), we have $c_{31}(c_{31}+a)=0$. Thus $c_{31}=0$ or $c_{31}=-a$. So we get solutions

$$N_{3,4}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&a&0\end{pmatrix}\quad(a\neq 0)\quad\text{and}\quad N_{3,5}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\-a&a&0\end{pmatrix}\quad(a\neq 0).$$

(2) Let $c_{21}\neq 0$. Denote $c=c_{21}$. If $c_{23}=0$, then by Eq. (181), we have $c_{21}=0$, a contradiction. Thus we have $c_{23}=b\neq 0$. Applying Eq. (181) again, we obtain $c_{31}=\frac{c(c+b)}{b}$. By Eq. (183), we have $c_{22}(c_{21}-c_{31})=c_{21}c_{31}$. Since $c_{21}-c_{31}=-\frac{c^2}{b}\neq 0$, we have $c_{22}=-(b+c)$. Since $c_{22}=-c_{33}$, we have $c_{33}=b+c$. Then by $c_{12}=0$, Eq. (182) gives $c_{32}=-\frac{c_{22}^2}{c_{23}}=-\frac{(b+c)^2}{b}$. By our assumption that $c_{32}\neq 0$, we have $b+c\neq 0$. Thus we get the solutions

$$N_{3,6}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\c&-(c+b)&b\\\frac{c(c+b)}{b}&-\frac{(c+b)^2}{b}&c+b\end{pmatrix}\quad(a\neq 0,b\neq 0,b+c\neq 0).$$

Subcase 2. $c_{32}=0$**:** Then Eq. (182) gives $c_{22}=0$. Then by Eq. (187) and (188), we have $c_{31}=c_{33}=0$. Thus Eq. (181) gives $c_{21}(c_{21}+b)=0$. Thus $c_{21}=0$ or $c_{21}=-b$. So we get the solutions

$$N_{3,7}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&b\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\quad(b\in\mathbf{k})\quad\text{and}\quad N_{3,8}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\-b&0&b\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\quad(b\neq 0).$$

4.2.4. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[NCS(4)]$. We prove that the matrices of the Rota-Baxter operators the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[NCS(4)]$ are given by $N_{4,i}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant 8$, in Table 6.

Applying the Cayley table of $NCS(4)$ in Eqs. (23) and then taking $i=j=1$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$; $i=1,j=2$ with $m=1,3$; $i=1,j=3$ with $m=1$; $i=2,j=1$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 2$; $i=2,j=2$ with $m=3$; $i=2,j=3$ with $m=3$; $i=3,j=1$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$ and $i=3,j=2$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 2$, we obtain

$$c_{13}(c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13})=c_{11}(c_{11}+c_{13})+c_{12}c_{21},\tag{189}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11}+c_{13}+c_{22})=0,\tag{190}$$

$$c_{13}(2c_{11}+c_{12}+2c_{13})+c_{12}c_{23}=0,\tag{191}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23})=c_{11}(c_{11}+c_{13})+c_{12}c_{21},\tag{192}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{11}+c_{13}+c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23})+c_{12}c_{23}=0,\tag{193}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33}) = c_{11}(c_{11}+c_{13}) + c_{12}c_{21}, \tag{194}$$

$$c_{23}(c_{12}+c_{13}) = c_{21}(c_{11}+c_{22}), \tag{195}$$

$$c_{22}^2 + c_{12}(c_{21}+c_{23}) = 0, \tag{196}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{21}+c_{23}) + c_{23}(c_{21}+2c_{22}+c_{23}) = 0, \tag{197}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{21}+c_{23}) + c_{23}(c_{22}+c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33}) = 0, \tag{198}$$

$$(c_{31}+c_{33})(c_{12}+c_{13}) = c_{11}(c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}) + c_{32}c_{21}, \tag{199}$$

$$c_{32}(c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}) = c_{12}(c_{31}+c_{33}+c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}) + c_{32}c_{22}, \tag{200}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{31}+c_{33}+c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}) + c_{32}c_{23} = 0, \tag{201}$$

$$(c_{31}+c_{33})(c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}) = c_{11}(c_{31}+c_{33}+c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}) + c_{32}c_{21}, \tag{202}$$

$$c_{32}(c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}) = c_{12}(c_{31}+c_{33}+c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}) + c_{32}c_{22}. \tag{203}$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $c_{12} = 0$**:** Then Eq. (196) gives $c_{22} = 0$. By Eq. (189), we have $c_{11}^2 = c_{13}^2$. Thus by Eq. (191), we get $(c_{11}+c_{13})^2 = 0$. So $c_{11}+c_{13} = 0$. There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $c_{13} \neq 0$**:** Denote $a = c_{13}$. Then $a \neq 0$. So $c_{11} = -c_{13} = -a$. By Eqs. (192), (193) and (194), we get $0 = c_{11}+c_{13} = c_{21}+c_{23} = c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33}$. Let $c_{21} = b$, where $b \in \mathbf{k}$. Then $c_{23} = -b$. So Eq. (202) gives $c_{11}(c_{31}+c_{33}) + c_{32}c_{21} = 0$, and then $c_{32}(c_{21}-c_{11}) = 0$. Thus we have $(a+b)c_{32} = 0$. Subdividing further, we have

(1) Suppose $a+b \neq 0$. Then $c_{32} = 0$. So $c_{31}+c_{33} = 0$. Let $c_{31} = c$, where $c \in \mathbf{k}$. Then $c_{33} = -c$. Thus we get the solutions

$$N_{4,1} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 & a \\ b & 0 & -b \\ c & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0, a+b \neq 0).$$

(2) Suppose $a+b = 0$. Let $c_{31} = c$, and let $c_{32} = d$, where $c, d \in \mathbf{k}$. Then we get $c_{33} = -c-d$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{4,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 & a \\ -a & 0 & a \\ c & d & -c-d \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, c, d \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Subcases 2. $c_{13} = 0$**:** Then we have $c_{11} = 0$. Thus Eq. (197) gives $c_{23}(c_{21}+c_{23}) = 0$. Using Eq. (203), we have $c_{32}(c_{21}+c_{23}) = 0$. By Eq. (198), we get $c_{23}(c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33}) = 0$. By Eqs. (199) and (201), we have $c_{32}c_{31} = 0$ and $c_{32}c_{23} = 0$.

(1) Suppose $c_{23} \neq 0$. Denote $a = c_{23}$. Then $a \neq 0$. Thus $c_{21}+c_{23} = 0$. So $c_{21} = -a$ and $c_{32} = 0$. Thus $c_{31}+c_{33} = 0$. Let $c_{31} = b$, where $b \in \mathbf{k}$. So $c_{33} = -b$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{4,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & a \\ b & 0 & -b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

(2) Let $c_{23} = 0$. Then Eqs. (189)-(203) are equivalent to the following system of equations.

$$\begin{cases} c_{32}c_{21} = 0, \\ c_{32}(c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33}) = 0, \\ (c_{31}+c_{33})(c_{31}+c_{32}+c_{33}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote $b = c_{31}$.

(a) When $c_{32} \neq 0$, then $c_{21} = 0$ and $c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$. Take $c = c_{32}$. Then $c_{33} = -c - b$. Then we get the solutions

$$N_{4,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & c & -b-c \end{pmatrix} \quad (b, c \in \mathbf{k}, c \neq 0).$$

(b) When $c_{32} = 0$, then $c_{31} + c_{33} = 0$. Then $c_{33} = -b$. Denote $a = c_{21}$. Then we get the solutions

$$N_{4,5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & -b \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}).$$

Case 2. $c_{12} \neq 0$**:** Then Eq. (190) gives $c_{11} + c_{13} + c_{22} = 0$. By Eqs. (189), (192) and (194), we have

$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}) = c_{13}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}).$$

We divide this case into two subcases.

Subcase 1. $c_{13} \neq 0$**:** Denote $b = c_{13}$ and $a = c_{11}$. Then we get $c_{22} = -a - b$ and $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}$. By Eq. (191), we get $c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = -(c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{13}) + c_{12}c_{23})$. In Eq. (189), replacing $c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13})$ by $-(c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{13}) + c_{12}c_{23})$, we obtain $(c_{11} + c_{13})^2 + c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0$. From $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23}$ and Eq. (190), we get $(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13})^2 = 0$. So $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. Thus we have $c_{12} = -(a + b) \neq 0$ and $c_{21} + c_{23} = -c_{22} = a + b$. Thus Eqs. (195) and (196) give $c_{21} = a$ and $c_{23} = b$. Denote $c = c_{31}$ and $d = c_{32}$. Since $c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$, we have $c_{33} = -c - d$. Then we obtain the following solutions of Eqs. (189)-(203)

$$N_{4,6} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -(a+b) & b \\ a & -(a+b) & b \\ c & d & -(c+d) \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}, b \neq 0, a + b \neq 0).$$

Subcase 2. $c_{13} = 0$**:** Then $c_{11} + c_{22} = 0$. Denote $b = c_{12}$. Then $0 \neq b \in \mathbf{k}$. Also denote $a = c_{11}$. Then $c_{22} = -a$ and Eq. (189) gives $c_{21} = -\frac{a^2}{b}$. By Eq. (191), we have $c_{23} = 0$.

(1) When $a + b \neq 0$, then by Eq. (199), we get

$$b(c_{31} + c_{33}) = a(a + b) - \frac{a^2}{b} c_{32}. \tag{204}$$

By Eq. (200), we have

$$b(c_{31} + c_{33}) = (2a + b)c_{32} - b(a + b). \tag{205}$$

From Eqs. (204) and (205), we get $c_{32} = b$ and so $c_{31} + c_{33} = a$. Denote $c = c_{31}$. Then $c_{33} = a - c$. So we get the solutions

$$N_{4,7} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ -\frac{a^2}{b} & -a & 0 \\ c & b & a-c \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}, a + b \neq 0, b \neq 0).$$

(2) When $a+b=0$, then we have $b=-a\neq 0$ and $c_{11}+c_{12}=0$. By Eq. (199), we have $c_{12}(c_{31}+c_{33})=c_{32}c_{21}$. Thus $c_{32}=-(c_{31}+c_{33})$. Denote $c=c_{31}$ and $d=c_{32}$. Then $c_{33}=-d-c$. So we obtain the solutions

$$N_{4,8}=\begin{pmatrix}-b & b & 0\\ -b & b & 0\\ c & d & -c-d\end{pmatrix}\quad (b,c,d\in\mathbf{k}, b\neq 0).$$

4.2.5. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[NCS(5)]$. We next prove that the matrices of the Rota-Baxter operators on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[NCS(5)]$ are given by $N_{5,i}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant 22$, in Table 6.

Applying the Cayley table of $NCS(5)$ in Eqs. (23) and then taking $i=j=1$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$; $i=2, j=1$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$ and $i=3, j=1$ with $1\leqslant m\leqslant 3$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2+c_{12}c_{21}+c_{13}c_{31}=0, \tag{206}$$
$$c_{11}c_{12}+c_{12}c_{22}+c_{13}c_{32}=0, \tag{207}$$
$$c_{11}c_{13}+c_{12}c_{23}+c_{13}c_{33}=0, \tag{208}$$
$$c_{21}c_{11}+c_{21}c_{22}+c_{23}c_{31}=0, \tag{209}$$
$$c_{21}c_{12}+c_{22}^2+c_{23}c_{32}=0, \tag{210}$$
$$c_{21}c_{13}+c_{22}c_{23}+c_{23}c_{33}=0, \tag{211}$$
$$c_{11}c_{31}+c_{21}c_{32}+c_{31}c_{33}=0, \tag{212}$$
$$c_{12}c_{31}+c_{22}c_{32}+c_{32}c_{33}=0, \tag{213}$$
$$c_{13}c_{31}+c_{23}c_{32}+c_{33}^2=0. \tag{214}$$

From Eq. (214) we have $c_{33}^2=-(c_{13}c_{31}+c_{23}c_{32})$. Denote $a=c_{13}$, $b=c_{32}$, $c=c_{31}$ and $d=c_{23}$. Then $c_{33}^2=-ac-bd$. So we have $c_{33}=\pm\sqrt{-ac-bd}$. Denote $F:=\sqrt{-ac-bd}$. So $F^2=-ac-bd$ and $c_{33}=\pm F$.

We divide the proof into five cases.

Case 1. a, b, c **and** d **are nonzero:** Then c_{13}, c_{32}, c_{31} and c_{23} are nonzero. By Eqs. (208) and (212), we have

$$c_{31}c_{23}c_{12}=-c_{31}(c_{11}c_{13}+c_{13}c_{33})=-c_{13}(c_{11}c_{31}+c_{31}c_{33})=c_{13}c_{32}c_{21}.$$

Thus

$$c_{21}=\frac{cd}{ab}c_{12}. \tag{215}$$

Then by Eqs. (212) and (215), we obtain

$$c_{11}=-c_{33}-\frac{c_{32}}{c_{31}}c_{21}=-c_{33}-\frac{b}{c}c_{21}=-c_{33}-\frac{d}{a}c_{12}. \tag{216}$$

Applying Eqs. (211) and (215), we have

$$c_{22}=-c_{33}-\frac{c_{13}}{c_{23}}c_{21}=-c_{33}-\frac{a}{d}c_{21}=-c_{33}-\frac{c}{b}c_{12}. \tag{217}$$

In Eq. (207), by replacing c_{11} and c_{22} by $-c_{33}-\frac{d}{a}c_{12}$ and $-c_{33}-\frac{c}{b}c_{12}$, respectively, we get

$$c_{33}^2c_{12}^2-2abc_{33}c_{12}+(ab)^2=0. \tag{218}$$

If $ac+bd=0$, then $c_{33}=0$. Thus by Eq. (218), $ab=0$, a contradiction. Thus we have $ac+bd\neq 0$. So $c_{33}\neq 0$ and $F\neq 0$. By Eq. (218) again, we get $(c_{33}c_{12}-ab)^2=0$. Thus

$c_{12} = \frac{ab}{c_{33}}$. Since $c_{33} = \pm F$, $c_{12} = \pm\frac{ab}{F}$. Then by Eq. (215), $c_{21} = \pm\frac{cd}{F}$. By Eqs. (216) and (217) and $F^2 = -ac - bd$, we get $c_{11} = \pm\frac{ac}{F}$ and $c_{22} = \pm\frac{bd}{F}$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ac}{F} & \frac{ab}{F} & a \\ \frac{cd}{F} & \frac{bd}{F} & d \\ c & b & F \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ac}{F} & -\frac{ab}{F} & a \\ -\frac{cd}{F} & -\frac{bd}{F} & d \\ c & b & -F \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Case 2. Exactly one of a, b, c, d is 0: Then there are four subcases to consider.

Subcase 1, $a = 0$ and $b, c, d \neq 0$: Then $c_{13} = 0$, $c_{32} \neq 0, c_{31} \neq 0$, and $c_{23} \neq 0$. By Eq. (208), we have $c_{12}c_{23} = 0$. So $c_{12} = 0$. Thus by Eq. (206), $c_{11} = 0$. Then Eq. (211) gives $c_{22} + c_{33} = 0$ and Eq. (214) gives $c_{33} = \pm\sqrt{bdi}$. So $c_{22} = \mp\sqrt{bdi}$. By Eq. (209), we have $c_{21} = -\frac{c_{23}c_{31}}{c_{22}}$. So $c_{21} = \mp\frac{c\sqrt{di}}{\sqrt{b}}$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c\sqrt{di}}{\sqrt{b}} & -\sqrt{bdi} & d \\ c & b & \sqrt{bdi} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{c\sqrt{di}}{\sqrt{b}} & \sqrt{bdi} & d \\ c & b & -\sqrt{bdi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}, b, c, d \neq 0).$$

Subcase 2. $b = 0$ and $a, c, d \neq 0$: Then $c_{32} = 0$, $c_{13} \neq 0$, $c_{31} \neq 0$ and $c_{23} \neq 0$. Then by Eq. (213), $c_{12}c_{31} = 0$. So $c_{12} = 0$ and then by Eq. (210), $c_{22} = 0$. By Eq. (208), we have $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$. Then Eq. (214) gives $c_{33} = \pm\sqrt{aci}$. Thus $c_{11} = \mp\sqrt{aci}$. By Eq. (211), we have $c_{21} = -\frac{c_{23}c_{33}}{c_{13}}$. So $c_{21} = \mp\frac{d\sqrt{ci}}{\sqrt{a}}$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,5} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{aci} & 0 & a \\ -\frac{d\sqrt{ci}}{\sqrt{a}} & 0 & d \\ c & 0 & \sqrt{aci} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,6} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{aci} & 0 & a \\ \frac{d\sqrt{ci}}{\sqrt{a}} & 0 & d \\ c & 0 & -\sqrt{aci} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}, a, c, d \neq 0).$$

Subcase 3. $c = 0$ and $a, b, d \neq 0$: Then By Eq. (212), we have $c_{32}c_{21} = 0$. So $c_{21} = 0$. By Eq. (206), we have $c_{11} = 0$. Thus Eq. (213) gives $c_{22} + c_{33} = 0$ and Eq. (214) gives $c_{33} = \pm\sqrt{bdi}$. Thus $c_{22} = \mp\sqrt{bdi}$. By Eq. (208), we have $c_{12} = -\frac{c_{13}c_{33}}{c_{23}} = \mp\frac{a\sqrt{bi}}{\sqrt{d}}$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,7} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{a\sqrt{bi}}{\sqrt{d}} & a \\ 0 & -\sqrt{bdi} & d \\ 0 & b & \sqrt{bdi} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,8} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a\sqrt{bi}}{\sqrt{d}} & a \\ 0 & \sqrt{bdi} & d \\ 0 & b & -\sqrt{bdi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}, a, b, d \neq 0).$$

Subcase 4. $d = 0$ and $a, b, c \neq 0$: Then by Eq. (211), we have $c_{21}c_{13} = 0$. So $c_{21} = 0$. Thus by Eq. (210), we have $c_{22} = 0$. So Eq. (208) gives $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$. Furthermore, by Eq. (214), we have $c_{33} = \pm\sqrt{aci}$. Thus $c_{11} = \mp\sqrt{aci}$. By Eq. (213), we get $c_{12} = -\frac{c_{32}c_{33}}{c_{31}} = \mp\frac{b\sqrt{ai}}{\sqrt{c}}$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,9} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{aci} & -\frac{b\sqrt{ai}}{\sqrt{c}} & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & \sqrt{aci} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,10} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{aci} & \frac{b\sqrt{ai}}{\sqrt{c}} & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & -\sqrt{aci} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}, a, b, c \neq 0).$$

Case 3. Exactly two of a, b, c, d are 0: There are six subcases to consider. But note that if $a = b = 0$, $c \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$, i.e. $c_{13} = c_{32} = 0$, $c_{31} \neq 0$ and $c_{23} \neq 0$, then by Eq. (213), we have $c_{12}c_{31} = 0$. So $c_{12} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (206) and (210) give $c_{11} = 0$ and $c_{22} = 0$, respectively. Then by Eq. (209), we have $c_{23}c_{31} = cd = 0$, a contradiction. Similarly, if $c = d = 0$ and $a, b \neq 0$, then we can obtain $c_{13}c_{32} = ab = 0$, a contradiction. So there are four subcases left to consider.

Subcase 1. $a = c = 0$ **and** $b, d \neq 0$**:** Then $c_{13} = c_{31} = 0$, $c_{32} \neq 0$ and $c_{23} \neq 0$. Thus by Eq. (208), we have $c_{12}c_{23} = dc_{12} = 0$. So $c_{12} = 0$. Thus by Eq.(206), we have $c_{11} = 0$. Then Eq. (213) gives $c_{22} + c_{33} = 0$. By Eq. (214), we have $c_{33} = \pm\sqrt{bd}i$. Thus we get $c_{22} = \mp\sqrt{bd}i$. Then we get the solutions

$$N_{5,11} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{bd}i & d \\ 0 & b & -\sqrt{bd}i \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } N_{5,12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{bd}i & d \\ 0 & b & \sqrt{bd}i \end{pmatrix} \quad (b, d \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Subcase 2. $a = d = 0$ **and** $b, c \neq 0$**:** Then $c_{13} = c_{23} = 0$, $c_{32} \neq 0$ and $c_{31} \neq 0$. Eq. (214) gives $c_{33} = 0$. Then by Eq. (212), we obtain $c_{11} = -\frac{c_{32}}{c_{31}}c_{21} = -\frac{b}{c}c_{21}$. From Eq. (213), we have $c_{12} = -\frac{c_{32}}{c_{31}}c_{22} = -\frac{b}{c}c_{22}$.

(1) If $c_{21} = 0$, then $c_{11} = 0$. By Eq. (210) we have $c_{22} = 0$. So $c_{12} = 0$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,13_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b, c \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

(2) If $c_{21} \neq 0$, then denote $e = c_{21}$. Thus by $c_{11} = -\frac{b}{c}c_{21}$, we have $c_{11} = -\frac{be}{c}$. By Eq. (209), we have $c_{11} + c_{22} = 0$. Thus $c_{22} = \frac{be}{c}$. So we have $c_{12} = -\frac{b}{c}c_{22} = -\frac{b^2e}{c^2}$.Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,13_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{be}{c} & -\frac{b^2e}{c^2} & 0 \\ e & \frac{be}{c} & 0 \\ c & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b, c, e \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

In summary, we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,13} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{be}{c} & -\frac{b^2e}{c^2} & 0 \\ e & \frac{be}{c} & 0 \\ c & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b, c \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}, e \in \mathbf{k}).$$

Subcase 3. $b = c = 0$ **and** $a, d \neq 0$**:** This subcase is similar to the above Subcase 2. Denote $e = c_{21}$. Then we can obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,14} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ae}{d} & -\frac{a^2e}{d^2} & a \\ e & -\frac{ae}{d} & d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, d, e \in \mathbf{k}, a, d \neq 0).$$

Subcase 4. $b = d = 0$ **and** $a, c \neq 0$**:** By Eq. (214), $c_{33} = \pm\sqrt{ac}i$. Then by Eq. (208), we have $c_{11} = \mp\sqrt{ac}i$. Then we can obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,15} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{ac}i & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & \sqrt{ac}i \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } N_{5,16} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{ac}i & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & -\sqrt{ac}i \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, c \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Case 4. Exactly three of a, b, c, d **are** 0**:** Then we divide into four subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $a = b = c = 0$ **and** $d \neq 0$**:** Then $c_{13} = c_{32} = c_{31} = 0$ and $c_{23} \neq 0$. Thus by Eq. (208), we have $c_{12}c_{23} = 0$. So $c_{12} = 0$ and then Eqs. (206) and (210) give $c_{11} = c_{22} = 0$. By Eq. (214),

we have $c_{33} = 0$. Denote $e = c_{21}$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,17} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (d, e \in \mathbf{k}, d \neq 0).$$

Similarly, we obtain the following solutions for the rest of the subcases.

Subcase 2. $a = b = d = 0$ **and** $c \neq 0$**:** Denote $e = c_{21}$. Then we have

$$N_{5,18} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (c, e \in \mathbf{k}, c \neq 0).$$

Subcase 3. $a = c = d = 0$ **and** $b \neq 0$**:** Denote $e = c_{12}$. Then we have

$$N_{5,19} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b, e \in \mathbf{k}, b \neq 0).$$

Subcase 4. $b = c = d = 0$ **and** $a \neq 0$**:** Denote $e = c_{12}$, where $e \in \mathbf{k}$. Then we have

$$N_{5,20} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, e \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Case 5. $a = b = c = d = 0$**:** By Eq. (214), we have $c_{33} = 0$. Denote $e = c_{12}$ and $f = c_{21}$. Then by Eqs. (206) and (210), we have $c_{11} = \pm\sqrt{ef}i$ and $c_{22} = \mp\sqrt{ef}i$.

(1) If $c_{12} \neq 0$ or $c_{21} \neq 0$, by Eqs. (207) and (209), we have $c_{11} + c_{22} = 0$. Then we can obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,21_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{ef}i & e & 0 \\ f & -\sqrt{ef}i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,21_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{ef}i & e & 0 \\ f & \sqrt{ef}i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (e \neq 0 \text{ or } f \neq 0)$$

(2) If $c_{12} = c_{21} = 0$, then by Eqs. (206) and (210), we have $c_{11} = c_{12} = 0$. Thus we obtain the zero solution $N_{5,21_3} = \mathbf{0}_{3\times3}$.

In summary, we obtain the solutions

$$N_{5,21} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{ef}i & e & 0 \\ f & -\sqrt{ef}i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{5,22} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{ef}i & e & 0 \\ f & \sqrt{ef}i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (e, f \in \mathbf{k}).$$

4.2.6. *The proof for* $\mathbf{k}[NCS(6)]$. We finally prove that the matrices for the Rota-Baxter operators on the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[NCS(6)]$ are given by $N_{6,i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 9$, in Table 6.

Applying the Cayley table of $NCS(6)$ in Eqs. (23) and then taking $i = j = 1$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 1, j = 2$ with $m = 2$; $i = 1, j = 3$ with $m = 2$; $i = 2, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3$ with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 3$; $i = 3, j = 1$ with $m = 1, 3$ and $i = 3, j = 3$ with $m = 2$, we obtain

$$c_{11}^2 + c_{13}c_{31} = 0, \tag{219}$$

$$c_{12}^2 + 2c_{11}c_{12} + c_{12}c_{13} + c_{13}c_{32} = 0, \tag{220}$$

$$c_{13}(c_{11} + c_{33}) = 0, \tag{221}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23})) + c_{13}c_{32} = 0, \tag{222}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{11} + c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) + c_{13}c_{32} = 0, \tag{223}$$

$$c_{21}c_{13} + c_{22}c_{11} = c_{11}(c_{22} + c_{11}) + c_{31}(c_{23} + c_{13}), \tag{224}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{11}) + c_{32}(c_{23} + c_{13}) = 0, \tag{225}$$

$$c_{23}(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13}) = c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{11}) + c_{12}c_{23} + c_{33}(c_{23} + c_{13}), \tag{226}$$

$$c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 2c_{21}c_{11} + 2c_{23}c_{31}, \tag{227}$$

$$c_{22}^2 + 2c_{21}c_{12} + 2c_{23}c_{32} = 0, \tag{228}$$

$$c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 2c_{21}c_{13} + 2c_{23}c_{33}, \tag{229}$$

$$c_{21}(c_{31} + c_{33}) + c_{22}c_{31} = c_{11}(c_{21} + c_{31}) + c_{31}(c_{22} + c_{23} + c_{33}), \tag{230}$$

$$c_{12}(c_{21} + c_{31}) + c_{32}(c_{22} + c_{23} + c_{33}) = 0, \tag{231}$$

$$c_{23}(c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}) = c_{13}(c_{21} + c_{31}) + c_{32}c_{23} + c_{33}(c_{23} + c_{33}), \tag{232}$$

$$c_{31}(c_{11} + c_{33}) = 0, \tag{233}$$

$$c_{33}^2 + c_{13}c_{31} = 0, \tag{234}$$

$$c_{32}(c_{31} + c_{32} + 2c_{33}) + c_{31}c_{12} = 0. \tag{235}$$

Note that Eqs. (219) and (234) give $c_{11}^2 = c_{33}^2$. Depending on whether $c_{12} = 0$ or not, we divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $c_{12} = 0$**:** Then by Eq. (220), we have $c_{13}c_{32} = 0$. Denote $a = c_{13}$. Then there are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $a \neq 0$**:** Then we have $c_{32} = 0$. Thus by Eq. (228), we have $c_{22} = 0$. Eq. (221) gives $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$. Denoting $b = c_{31}$, then by Eq. (219), we have $c_{11} = \pm\sqrt{ab}i$. Thus $c_{33} = \mp\sqrt{ab}i$.

(1) Let $a + b = 0$. Then $b = -a$. By Eq. (219), we have $c_{11} = a$ or $c_{11} = -a$.

(a) Let $c_{11} = a$. Then $c_{33} = -a$. By Eqs. (224) and (226), we get $c_{21} = c_{23} = 0$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{6,1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

(b) Let $c_{11} = -a$. Then $c_{33} = a$. By Eqs. (224) and (226), we get $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$. Denote $c = c_{23}$. Then $c_{21} = -c$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{6,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 & a \\ -c & 0 & c \\ -a & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

(2) Let $a + b \neq 0$. Then by Eq. (232), we get $c_{23}c_{31} = c_{13}c_{21} + (c_{13}c_{31} + c_{33}^2)$. Using Eq. (234), we have $c_{23}c_{31} = c_{13}c_{21}$. Since $c_{13} = a \neq 0$, we have $c_{21} = \frac{b}{a}c_{23}$. From Eq. (230), we get $c_{21}(c_{31} + c_{33} - c_{11}) = c_{31}c_{23} + c_{31}(c_{11} + c_{33})$. So by Eq. (233), we have $c_{21}(c_{31} + c_{33} - c_{11}) = c_{31}c_{23}$. Together with $c_{21} = \frac{b}{a}c_{23}$, we have

$$\frac{b}{a}c_{23}(c_{13} + c_{11} - (c_{31} + c_{33})) = 0. \tag{236}$$

Assume $c_{13} + c_{11} = c_{31} + c_{33}$, using $c_{11} = -c_{33}$, then we have $2c_{33} = c_{13} - c_{31} = a - b$. So $4c_{33}^2 = (a - b)^2$. Since $c_{33}^2 + c_{13}c_{31} = 0$, then $-4ab = (a - b)^2$. Thus $(a + b)^2 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $c_{13} + c_{11} \neq c_{31} + c_{33}$. Then we have the following two cases.

(a) Let $b \neq 0$. Then by Eq. (236), we have $c_{23} = 0$, and so $c_{21} = 0$. Since $c_{11} = \pm\sqrt{abi}$ and $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$, we have $c_{33} = \mp\sqrt{ab}$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{6,3} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{abi} & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & -\sqrt{abi} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } N_{6,4} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{abi} & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & \sqrt{abi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a, b \neq 0).$$

(b) Let $b = 0$. Then $c_{31} = 0$. By Eq. (219), we have $c_{11} = 0$. Since $c_{11}^2 = c_{33}^2$, we have $c_{33} = 0$. Then Eq. (232) gives $c_{21} = 0$. So Eq. (229) gives $c_{23} = 0$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{6,5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

Subcase 2 $a = 0$**.** Then by Eq. (219), we have $c_{11} = 0$, and so $c_{33} = 0$. Eqs. (224) and (225) give $c_{31}c_{23} = 0$ and $c_{32}c_{23} = 0$, respectively. So by Eq. (228), we have $c_{22} = 0$.

(1) Let $c_{31} = 0$. Then by Eq. (235), we have $c_{32} = 0$. By Eqs. (227) and (229), we get $c_{21}(c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$ and $c_{23}(c_{21} + c_{23}) = 0$. Thus $c_{21} + c_{23} = 0$. Take $d = c_{21}$. Then $c_{23} = -d$. Thus we obtain the solutions

$$N_{6,6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & -d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (d \in \mathbf{k}).$$

(2) Let $c_{31} \neq 0$. Then $c_{23} = 0$. By Eq. (227), we have $c_{21} = 0$. Then Eq. (235) gives $c_{32}(c_{31} + c_{32}) = 0$. Denote $d = c_{31}$. Then $0 \neq d \in \mathbf{k}$. Then we get $c_{32} = 0$ or $c_{32} = -d$. Thus we get the solutions

$$N_{6,7} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{6,8} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & -d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (d \in \mathbf{k}, d \neq 0).$$

Case 2 $c_{12} \neq 0$**.** Denote $a = c_{12}$ and $b = c_{32}$. Assume $c_{13} = 0$. Then by Eq. (219) we have $c_{11} = 0$. So by Eq. (220), we have $c_{12} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $c_{13} \neq 0$. By Eqs. (220), (222) and (223), we have $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33}$. Then by adding Eqs. (219)-(221), we have $(c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13})^2 = 0$. So $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$. Thus Eq. (220) gives $c_{11}c_{12} + c_{13}c_{32} = 0$. Since $a = c_{12} \neq 0$, we have $c_{11} = -\frac{b}{a}c_{13}$. Since $c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = 0$, we have $c_{11} + c_{13} = -a$. Then $c_{13} - \frac{b}{a}c_{13} = -a$, and so $\frac{a-b}{a}c_{13} = -a$. Assume $a = b$. Then $c_{11} + c_{13} = 0$. This means that $c_{12} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $a - b \neq 0$. So we have $c_{13} = \frac{a^2}{b-a}$, and then $c_{11} = \frac{ab}{a-b}$. Since $c_{13} \neq 0$, Eq.(221) gives $c_{11} + c_{33} = 0$. Then we get $c_{33} = \frac{ab}{b-a}$. Since $c_{31} + c_{32} + c_{33} = 0$, we have $c_{31} = -c_{32} - c_{33} = \frac{b^2}{a-b}$. By Eq. (231) and $c_{22} + c_{23} = -c_{21}$, we get $(c_{12} - c_{32})c_{21} = -(c_{12}c_{31} + c_{32}c_{33}) = 0$. Since$c_{12} - c_{32} = a - b \neq 0$, we have $c_{21} = 0$. There are two subcases.

Subcase 1. $b \neq 0$**:** Then $c_{31} = \frac{b^2}{a-b} \neq 0$. By Eq. (227), we have $c_{23}c_{31} = 0$. So $c_{23} = 0$. Thus by Eq. (228), we have $c_{22} = 0$. Then we obtain the solutions

$$N_{6,9_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ab}{a-b} & a & \frac{a^2}{b-a} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{b^2}{a-b} & b & \frac{ab}{b-a} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Subcases 2. $b = 0$**:** Then $c_{32} = 0$. From above discussion, we have $c_{11} = c_{31} = c_{33} = 0$ and $c_{13} = -a$. By $c_{21} = 0$, Eq. (228) gives $c_{22} = 0$. Since $c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = 0$, we have $c_{23} = 0$. Then we get the solutions

$$N_{6,9_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

In summary, we get the solutions

$$N_{6,9} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ab}{a-b} & a & \frac{a^2}{b-a} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{b^2}{a-b} & b & \frac{ab}{b-a} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{k}, a \neq 0).$$

This completes the proof for $\mathbf{k}[NCS(6)]$.

Now the proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed.

5. The method of computer algebra

In this section, we describe the computer algebra procedure (in Mathematica) to compute the Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras of semigroup of order 3 in Tables 3 and 5. This procedure serves both for guiding and verifying the manual proofs of the classification theorems carried out in the main body of the paper.

The Mathematica code and accompanying syntax definitions are given in Figure 1 in page 33. The function `RBA` with four arguments creates the equations in Eq. (6) for a fixed pair of elements, which are then instantiated by all generator pairs. For added clarity, we have also displayed the general form of these equations for the generic 2×2 Cayley table defined at the beginning. The main function for finding Rota-Baxter operators is `FindRBO`, which simply solves the equations created by `RBA`. In the generic case, this yields only the trivial operator. For converting a given Cayley table to the structure constants r_{kl}^m used in Eq. (6), the simple function `SGM` is used.

We illustrate these functions by considering the first semigroup of Table 3, so let $t = CS(1)$. Here the underlying set $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of $CS(1)$ is simplified to $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The above Mathematica code above yields the results given in Figure 2 in page 34. In fact, the output gives two Rota-Baxter operators for $CS(1)$ but the second is a special case of the first. Let $p(1,1) = a, p(2,1) = b, p(1,2) = c, p(2,2) = d, p(1,3) = e, p(2,3) = f$, where $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbf{k}$. Then $p(3,1) = -a - b, p(3,2) = -c - d$ and $p(3,3) = -e - f$. So we get the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & c & e \\ b & d & f \\ -a-b & -c-d & -e-f \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbf{k}).$$

The transpose of the above matrix is $C_{1,1}$ in Table 4.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of US (Grant No. DMS 1001855), the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council of UK (Grant No. EP/I037474/1) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371178).

References

[1] H. An and C. Bai, From Rota-Baxter algebras to pre-Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008), 015201 (19pp). 2

[2] F. V. Atkinson, Some aspects of Baxter's functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. and Applications* **7** (1963), 1-30. 1

```
Scl[x_] := First[Flatten[x]]
Vec[x_] := Flatten[x]
```

$$\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha 1 \\ \beta 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha 2 \\ \beta 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma 1 \\ \delta 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \gamma 2 \\ \delta 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix};$$

```
MakeExpression[
  RowBox[{x_, SubscriptBox["⊙", c_], y_}], StandardForm] :=
 MakeExpression[
  RowBox[{"CircleDot", "[", RowBox[{x, ",", c, ",", y}], "]"}], StandardForm]

MakeBoxes[CircleDot[x_, c_, y_], StandardForm] :=
 MakeBoxes[RowBox[{x, SubscriptBox["⊙", c], y}], StandardForm]

x_ ⊙c_ y_ := Vec[x^T.c.y]

e[i_, n_] := List /@ UnitVector[n, i]

With[{n = 2}, Table[e[i, n] ⊙c e[j, n] - Sum[c[[i, k, j]] e[k, n], {k, 1, n}], {i, n}, {j, n}]] // Flatten
```

```
{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0}
```

```
RBA[p_, c_, x_, y_] := (p.x) ⊙c (p.y) - p.((p.x) ⊙c y) - p.(x ⊙c (p.y))

RBA[p_, c_] := With[{n = Length[c // First]},
  Flatten[Table[Expand[RBA[p, c, e[i, n], e[j, n]]],
    {i, n}, {j, n}], 2]]

RBA[c_] := With[{n = Length[c // First]}, With[{p = Array[Symbol["p"], {n, n}]},
   {RBA[p, c], p}]]

RBA[c]
```

$$\Big\{\Big\{-\alpha 1\, p[1,1]^2 - 2\,\alpha 2\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] - \beta 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - \gamma 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] + \delta 1\, p[2,1]^2,$$
$$\alpha 2\, p[1,1]^2 - 2\,\alpha 1\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] + \beta 2\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] + \gamma 2\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] - \beta 1\, p[2,1]^2 -$$
$$\gamma 1\, p[2,1]^2 + \delta 2\, p[2,1]^2 - 2\,\alpha 2\, p[1,1]\, p[2,2] - \beta 2\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2] - \gamma 2\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2],$$
$$-\beta 1\, p[1,1]^2 - \beta 2\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] - \alpha 2\, p[1,2]^2 - \delta 1\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] +$$
$$\gamma 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - \delta 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - \beta 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] + \delta 1\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2],$$
$$\alpha 2\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] - \beta 1\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] - \alpha 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] + \gamma 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] -$$
$$\delta 1\, p[2,1]^2 - \alpha 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] - \beta 1\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2] - \beta 2\, p[2,2]^2,$$
$$-\gamma 1\, p[1,1]^2 - \gamma 2\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] - \alpha 2\, p[1,2]^2 - \delta 1\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] +$$
$$\beta 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - \delta 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - \gamma 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] + \delta 1\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2],$$
$$\alpha 2\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] - \gamma 1\, p[1,1]\, p[2,1] - \alpha 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] + \beta 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] -$$
$$\delta 1\, p[2,1]^2 - \alpha 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] - \gamma 1\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2] - \gamma 2\, p[2,2]^2, -\beta 1\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] -$$
$$\gamma 1\, p[1,1]\, p[1,2] + \alpha 1\, p[1,2]^2 - \beta 2\, p[1,2]^2 - \gamma 2\, p[1,2]^2 - 2\,\delta 1\, p[1,1]\, p[2,2] +$$
$$\beta 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] + \gamma 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] - 2\,\delta 2\, p[1,2]\, p[2,2] + \delta 1\, p[2,2]^2,$$
$$\alpha 2\, p[1,2]^2 - \beta 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - \gamma 1\, p[1,2]\, p[2,1] - 2\,\delta 1\, p[2,1]\, p[2,2] - \delta 2\, p[2,2]^2\Big\},$$
$$\{\{p[1,1], p[1,2]\}, \{p[2,1], p[2,2]\}\}\Big\}$$

```
FindRBA[c_] := With[{sys = RBA[c]},
  Solve[Map[# == 0 &, First[sys]], Flatten[Last[sys]]]]

FindRBA[c]
```

$$\{\{p[1,1] \to 0,\ p[1,2] \to 0,\ p[2,1] \to 0,\ p[2,2] \to 0\}\}$$

```
SGM[t_] := With[{n = Length[t // First]},
  Table[If[t[[i, j]] == k, 1, 0], {i, n}, {k, n}, {j, n}]]
```

FIGURE 1. The procedure to compute Rota-Baxter operators on semigroup algebras

```
t := CS[1];
CS[1] = ( 1  1  1 )
        ( 1  1  1 );
        ( 1  1  1 )

c = SGM[t]

{{{1, 1, 1}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}},
  {{1, 1, 1}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}, {{1, 1, 1}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}}

FindRBA[c]

Solve::svars : Equations may not give solutions for all "solve" variables.≫

{{p[3, 1] → -p[1, 1] - p[2, 1], p[3, 2] → -p[1, 2] - p[2, 2], p[3, 3] → -p[1, 3] - p[2, 3]},
  {p[1, 1] → 0, p[2, 1] → 0, p[3, 1] → 0,
    p[3, 2] → -p[1, 2] - p[2, 2], p[3, 3] → -p[1, 3] - p[2, 3]}}
```

FIGURE 2. Results of the Mathematica code on *CS* (1)

[3] G. E. Andrews, L. Guo, W. Keigher and K. Ono, Baxter algebras and Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 4639-4656. 1

[4] C. Bai, A unified algebraic approach to the classical Yang-Baxter equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007), 11073-11082. 1

[5] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonablian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and postLie algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010), 553-596. 1

[6] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.* (2013), no. 3, 485-524. 1

[7] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731–742. 1

[8] J. Böhm, D. Eisenbud and M. J. Nitsche, Decomposition of semigroup algebras. *Exp. Math.* **21** (2012), 385-394. 2

[9] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen and J. Qiu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators and free Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 89-110. 1

[10] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. Math.* **9** (1972), 253-265. 1

[11] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000), 249-273. 1

[12] S. Crvenkovic and I. Stojmenovic, An algorithm for Cayley tables of algebras, Review of Research of the Faculty of Science, Mathematics Series **23 (2)** (1993), 221-231. 6

[13] H. G. Dales, D. E. Strauss, Y. Zelenyuk, Y. Zelenyuk, Radicals of some semigroup algebras. *Semigroup Forum* **87** (2013), 80-96. 2

[14] A. Distler and B. Eick, Coclass theory for nilpotent semigroups via their associated algebras. *J. Algebra* **373** (2013), 491-501. 2

[15] C.C. Edmunds, Varieties generated by semigroups of order four, *Semigroup Forum* **21** (1980), 67-81. 6

[16] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Free Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212** (2008), 320-339. 2

[17] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo and D. Kreimer, Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004), 11037-11052. 1

[18] G. E. Forsythe, SWAC computes 126 distinct semigroups of oreder 4, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 443-447. 6

[19] F. Gourdeau, and M C. White, The cyclic and simplicial cohomology of the Cuntz semigroup algebra. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **386** (2012), 921-932. 2

[20] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press, 2012. 1

[21] L. Guo, W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. Math.*, **150** (2000), 117-149. 1, 2

[22] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212** (2008), 522-540. 1

[23] X. Guo and C. Xi, Cellularity of twisted semigroup algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), 71-86. 2

[24] E. Jespers and J. Okniński: Noetherian Semigroup Algebras. *Algebra and Applications* **7** Springer, Dordrecht, 2007. 2
[25] M. Kennedy, Wandering vectors and the reflexivity of free semigroup algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **653** (2011), 47-73. 2
[26] V. Lakshmibai and H. Mukherjee, Singular loci of Hibi toric varieties. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **26** (2011), 1-29. 2
[27] X. Li, D. Hou and C. Bai, Rota-Baxter operators on pre-Lie algebra, *Jour. Nonlinear Math. Phys* **14** (2007), 269-289. 2
[28] Y. Luo and W. Zhang, On the variety generated by all semigroups of order three, *J. Algebra*. **334** (2011), 1-30. 6
[29] C. Manon, Presentations of semigroup algebras of weighted trees. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **31** (2010), 467-489. 2
[30] C. Manon, Gorenstein semigroup algebras of weighted trees and ordered points on the projective line. *J. Algebra* **354** (2012), 110-120. 2
[31] R. May, Representations of certain generalized Schur algebras. *J. Algebra* **333** (2011), 180-201. 2
[32] E. Nasrabadi, and A. Pourabbas, Second module cohomology group of inverse semigroup algebras. *Semigroup Forum* **81** (2010), 269-276. 2
[33] J. Okniński, Semigroup Algebras, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1991. 2
[34] J. Pei, C. Bai and L. Guo, Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, *Jour. Math. Phys.* to appear. 2
[35] R. J. Plemmons, Cayley tables for all semigroups of order less than 7, Department of Mathematics, Auburn Univ., 1965. 6
[36] M. Petrich, Introduction to Semigroups, Merrill, Columbus, 1973. 4
[37] M. Rosenkranz and G. Regensburger, Solving and factoring boundary problems for linear ordinary differential equations in differential algebra, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **43** (2008), 515–544. 1
[38] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 325–329, 330–334. 1
[39] G.-C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, In: "Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries", Joseph P.S. Kung, Editor, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995. 1
[40] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical r-matrix? *Funct. Ana. Appl.*, **17** (1983) 259-272. 1
[41] B. Sturmfels and S. Sullivant, Toric geometry of cuts and splits. *Michigan Math. J.* **57** (2008), 689-709. 2
[42] X. Tang, Y. Zhang and Q. Sun, Rota-Baxter operators on 4-dimensional complex simple associative algebras, *Appl. Math. Comp.* **229** (2014), 173-186. 2

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA
E-mail address: liguo@rutgers.edu

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NF, England
E-mail address: M.Rosenkranz@kent.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China
E-mail address: zheng2712801@163.com